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Summary

This working paper aims to give an overview of the methods for producing R&D statistics in the Nordic countries (Chapter 1). The purpose is partly to ease mutual learning between the R&D statistical producers and partly to inform stakeholders about the various methods currently in use. To give a more complete picture of R&D in the Nordic countries we also include an overview of R&D resources and the R&D system of the Nordic countries (Chapter 2).

According to the international guidelines for R&D statistics the OECD Frascati Manual (2015), there are several ways of producing high quality R&D statistics and all the Nordic countries are well within the recommendations. Different traditions and data sources have given rise to different approaches to producing these statistics in the Nordic countries.

Below we present some of the main differences between the Nordic countries productions of R&D statistics per R&D performing sector.

The Nordic countries use similar approaches to map R&D in the business enterprise sector – annual survey in 2 countries

In the business enterprise sector (BES), Finland and Norway conduct a full survey annually, while others collect data in odd-numbered years, which is the minimum required by statistical regulation. Each country has a R&D panel approach in the sample selection. Surveys of Manufacturing and R&D intensive services are in more detail than other services in all the Nordic countries. The response rate varies from 69% in Finland to 96% in Denmark and Norway (2015). Due to high response rates and the R&D panel approach, the sampling error in all countries is small.

All countries pay special attention to the measurement error, which is highly relevant in the R&D statistics. We put much effort on the functional aspects of the online questionnaire, on the clarity of the guidelines and on the interaction with the respondents.
The higher education sector is the most heterogeneous sector

In the higher education sector, an essential challenge is to extract the R&D part of total expenditures. In all the Nordic countries, there has traditionally been a binary system of higher education with universities more devoted to research and other institutions more into education. But recent developments have made the boarders more blurred.

In Denmark, Finland, Iceland, and Norway the statistical level surveyed is the institute/department level, while in Sweden it is the legal entity, mostly an institution, that is the statistical unit.

There is substantial variation between the Nordic countries in terms of units covered in the government sector

In all countries the government sector includes public research institutions, hospitals, museums, and government agencies. Norway has the largest share of R&D expenditures in the Government sector compared to the other Nordic countries, but the data collection does not include a dedicated survey to regional level. Finland and Sweden surveyed both counties and municipalities.

The private-non-profit (PNP) sector has very little R&D activity

Finland and Denmark cover the PNP-sector units by the R&D survey conducted in the government sector. Finland and Sweden have a dedicated survey to PNP institutions that are supposed to perform R&D. Iceland, surveys the PNP-sector as part of the business enterprise sector. In Norway, there is no separate survey in the PNP-sector, PNP units are only covered by the R&D statistics as a funding source.

Processing and dissemination are important parts of the production of R&D statistics

In all countries, meetings with important stakeholders to accommodate user needs are part of the production of R&D statistics. All Nordic countries report data on time to international agencies (OECD and Eurostat).

Final R&D statistical data are disseminated in Statistical banks. Over the years there has been some variation in other R&D statistical products. The statistical organisations have produced newsletters, sectoral publications, Science and Technology reports. Both Sweden, Denmark and Norway have also had research barometers produced outside the statistical organisations. This working paper includes links to the current main publication of all the countries.
Future implications

For the Nordic producers of R&D statistics, this stocktaking exercise has provided valuable insight in coverage and methodology among the countries. It has also supplied ideas and advice for looking at new units to cover and new methods to implement.
1 Production of R&D statistics in the Nordic countries

The Nordic countries are in many ways similar; small, rich countries, with developed research systems and higher education institutions. And they have good access to administrative data and registers; both business registers, registers of higher education institutions and other available data sources.

In all the Nordic countries the production of R&D statistics is based on the guidelines in the 2015-edition of the OECD Frascati manual. The production of these statistics is steered by regulatory framework of the EU (or EEA in case of Norway and Iceland).

The OECD revised the guidelines of the Frascati Manual several times since the first edition of 1963 to meet and address measurement challenges, new user needs, and best practices developed worldwide. Now the Frascati Manual serves as international guidelines for producing comparable R&D statistics. Reflecting the global differences in research systems, data availability and available resources, the manual opens for several ways to produce high quality R&D statistics. Among the Nordic countries there are indeed both similarities and differences in the production of the R&D statistics about methodological approach, frequency of data production, and details in coverage. This working paper highlights key elements of producing R&D statistics in each of the Nordic countries, sector by sector, looking at survey population, data processing and the dissemination of data.

1.1 Business enterprise sector

1.1.1 Survey population

Finland and Norway conduct a full survey annually, while others collect data in odd years, as required by regulation. In each of the Nordic countries the

---

The target of the business enterprise research and development (BERD) survey is to measure R&D performing enterprises. Business surveys generally use a stratified random sampling (SRS) method, whereas BERD surveys usually use a panel approach. This is also the case with the Nordic countries. Each country adopts a kind of R&D panel approach in the sample selection (R&D in the previous survey, NACE 72), but some countries also use auxiliary information (FI Business Finland R&D grants, IS administrative sources indicating R&D). Denmark has tried to access R&D tax data on tax credits for R&D activities, but that has yet not been successful. Denmark has a register of businesses receiving funds for R&D activities. Norway explores the use of the R&D support data. Obviously, the R&D panel does not cover all the potential R&D performers and thus countries complement it with a sample.

2 In national R&D statistics of Norway, research institutes and other public units mainly serving Government (in OECD statistics = GOV) plus research institutes mainly serving the business enterprise sector (part of BES) are gathered in a sector called the Institute sector.
Table 1 reveals quite similar methodological approaches among the Nordic countries in their respective BERD surveys. Manufacturing and R&D intensive services surveys require more detail than services with less frequent occurrence of R&D.

1.1.2 Data collection

Responding the R&D Survey is mandatory by national legislation in every country. Countries which actively implement penalties for non-respondents report extremely high response rates (DK and NO with 96 per cent). Finland had the lowest response rate at 69 per cent. However, the largest R&D firms are compliant in answering the survey.

![Figure 1 Unit response rates (per cent) in the BES 2015](image)

Due to high response rates and the R&D panel approach, the sampling error in all countries is small. In weighting, the treatment of outliers is an issue as R&D is quite rare and one firm can stand out exceptionally.

All countries pay special attention to the measurement error, which is highly relevant in the R&D statistics. There is much effort put on the functional aspects of the online questionnaire, on the clarity of the guidelines and on the interaction with the respondents.

1.1.3 Dissemination

Eurostat collects preliminary data for major variables at the end of the October after the reference year (T+10). The Nordic countries release their figures around

![Figure 1 Unit response rates (per cent) in the BES 2015](image)
that time. We communicate results through press releases, electronic publications, and databases on the internet sites.

Table 2 Date of publication of the results of BERD (months after reference year=T).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Release of provisional data</th>
<th>Release of final data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>T+12</td>
<td>T+23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>T+10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>T+10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>T+10</td>
<td>T+14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>T+7</td>
<td>T+11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.2 The higher education sectors

The higher education sector is the most heterogeneous sector in the research systems, and this is a challenge in the compilation of international comparable R&D statistics. An essential challenge is to extract the R&D part of total expenditures.3

Traditionally all the Nordic countries have had binary higher education sector systems (HES) with research-intensive universities and teaching-intensive university colleges. In recent years these distinctions have become more blurred as research has become a more important task for the university colleges.4 Substantial structural changes and mergers have changed the structure of the higher education sector, especially in Denmark and Norway where units from other R&D performing sectors (research institutes) have also been merged into HES. HES includes university hospitals in all countries.

The Danish system of higher education is still binary, with research-intensive universities and teaching-intensive university colleges. There have been mergers of research institutes into the universities to strengthen the system in an international context. There are 31 higher education institutions with 8 universities, 22 university colleges (incl. 9 business academies/ erhvervsakademier) and 1 other institution (Probation Learning Centre).

Finland also has a binary structure with 15 universities (incl. the Finnish National Defence University) and 25 universities of applied sciences. The latter group is new and also have R&D tasks which makes the distinction between the institutions less strict.

In Iceland there are 7 universities, plus 2 research facilities and 1 university hospital that form part of the University of Iceland.

---

4 See more in: Frølich, N. et al. (2018): Academic career structures in Europe: Perspectives from Norway, Denmark, Sweden, Finland, the Netherlands, Austria and the UK, NIFU Report 4/2018
In Norway, there are 32 higher education institutions in 2018, hereof 10 universities, 5 state university colleges, 9 universities of applied sciences and 8 other educational institutions (art, police, defence, nursing). The number of institutions has decreased since 2014 due to mergers between state university colleges and between universities and state university colleges. The aim has been to create more robust, higher quality institutions. The traditionally binary system of higher education is hence under large pressure.

In Sweden, all HEIs are subject to the same legislation, and receive their governmental funding in the same way – one amount for research and one for education. The share of research of the total funding differs significantly across institutions, with universities generally having higher shares of research than the others.

Today there are 35 higher education institutions; 14 public and 2 private universities, 14 university colleges and 5 university colleges of art. Sweden includes university hospitals only to some extent; only funding through higher education is included, while funding from the county councils (which own the university hospitals) is excluded.

1.2.1 Survey population

In all the Nordic countries the main input for R&D in the higher education sector (HERD) is a survey. Sweden carried out a survey at the institutional level, while other countries carried it out at the department level. In addition, administrative data from the HEI administration level are essential. Also, information from contact points at the institutional level is important, especially in Denmark and Norway. In Sweden and Finland, data collected by the Swedish Higher Education Authority (Universitetskanslerämbetet) and the Finnish Ministry of Education and Culture are essential to produce R&D statistics. All countries use time-use surveys, except Denmark.
### Table 3 Characteristics of the HERD surveys in the Nordic countries, 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Denmark</th>
<th>Finland</th>
<th>Iceland</th>
<th>Norway</th>
<th>Sweden</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Types and number of institutions</td>
<td>Total: 31 HEI, 15 university hospitals</td>
<td>Total: 46 HEI, 15 universities, 6 university clinics, 25 universities of applied sciences</td>
<td>Total: 10 HEI, 7 universities + two research facilities that are a part of the University of Iceland, and one University hospital</td>
<td>Total: 32 HEI, 8 universities, 8 universities of applied sciences, 9 other higher education institutions, 7 state university colleges, plus 6 university hospitals</td>
<td>Total: 39 HEI, 16 universities, 14 university colleges, 4 university colleges of the arts, 4 independent institutions, 1 research institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statistical unit</td>
<td>R&amp;D performing HEI department, university hospital, 550 units</td>
<td>University institute, university hospital or university of applied sciences. Calculation also on the university department level, 1100 sub-units of the universities</td>
<td>University institute/centre, university college institute/department or university hospital, 400 institutes/department/centres</td>
<td>University, university college. Most units consist of one legal entity, but not all.</td>
<td>University, university college. Most units consist of one legal entity, but not all.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 1.2.2 Data collection

In all Nordic countries, the main HERD input is a survey. There are some variations in how central a time-use survey or administrative data are.

In Denmark, HERD rely heavily on the survey to department level, and on direct contact with each university. It does not use a dedicated time-use survey at national level and administrative data in producing HERD.

Finland produces HERD through a combination of an annual survey (on external funding) and administrative data. For universities, the survey is on department level, and data from the university administration and they use universities’ time-use-monitoring records to compute the R&D coefficients. HERD production in Finland is taking advantage of a lot of administrative data collected by the Ministry of Education and Culture.

In Iceland, the annual survey on institutional level is the most important source of information when producing HERD.

In Norway, there is a combination of administrative data, survey (every second year), prefilled questionnaire with accounting data and there are contact persons at all the HEIs. Norway conducts a time-use-survey to individuals in HEI every fifth
year (from 2016, before that every 10th year). A register of research personnel is also important to produce HERD. The Norwegian R&D statistics of the sector has details at department/institute level (field of R&D and funding etc). They have made considerable efforts to make smart use of administrative data and ease the response burden by prefiling the questionnaires with accounting data.

Sweden produces its HERD figures with a survey (every second year on R&D expenditure) on institutional level (from 2015) and relies in addition on data collected by the Swedish Higher Education Authority, where HEI annually report economic figures derived from their accounting systems. Two registers, one of personnel in higher education and one of post-graduate students, are also important tools to produce HERD in Sweden.

**Table 4 Main data of HERD survey in the Nordic countries.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Administrative data source and use</th>
<th>Survey method and frequency</th>
<th>Time use survey (R&amp;D coefficient)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>From university administration Data on R&amp;D expenditure and funding</td>
<td>Annual survey, census Field of science (2-digit FOS) and type of R&amp;D estimated by number of FTE</td>
<td>Not applicable. R&amp;D coefficients not used at the national level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>From university administrations, Statistics Finland, Ministry of Education and Culture, wage register of the Confederation of Finnish Industries Data on personnel, research expenditures, education registers</td>
<td>Annual survey, census (all) and register data (universities) Data on personnel, FTE, R&amp;D field, funding source</td>
<td>Computed from universities' time-use monitoring records</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>From university administrations</td>
<td>Annual survey</td>
<td>Time-use surveys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Central government accounting system, HEI administrations, financing bodies such as the Research Council of Norway, Directorate of Public Construction and Property Data on accounting personnel, funding, investments</td>
<td>External R&amp;D expenditure and personnel, type of R&amp;D, fields of science and technology, thematic priorities, and technology areas</td>
<td>Time-use survey of all relevant personnel at individual level. Planned for every 5 years from 2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1.2.3 Dissemination

Eurostat collects preliminary data for major variables at the end of October after the reference year (T+10). The Nordic countries release their figures around that time. Finland and Iceland release their final data similarly. The time lag to final data is longer for Denmark; they revise data at the same time as they deliver new data. This means that when they deliver 2017-data T+18, final 2016-data are delivered at the same time (T+30) for Denmark.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Release of provisional data</th>
<th>Release of final data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>T+12</td>
<td>T+24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>T+10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>T+10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>T+10</td>
<td>T+12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>T+7 (from 2017)</td>
<td>T+11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1.3 The government sector

The size of the government sector R&D clearly varies between the Nordic countries. In Norway the government sector\(^5\) counted for 14 per cent of total R&D in 2017, in Finland the corresponding share was about 9 per cent, in Sweden and Iceland about 4 per cent each and in Denmark only 2 per cent of total R&D was performed in this sector. What kind of institutions that are included in the sector also differs, is shown in the appendix 2.

1.3.1 Survey population

Regarding government agencies and underlying units are these in lesser extent surveyed in Norway than in the other Nordic countries. This is partly due to the establishment of separate public research institutes in the 1980ies and 1990ies.

One clear difference among the Nordic countries is the counties: Finland and Sweden include this sector, while Norway does not.

The number institutions covered also varies; from 34 in Iceland, 67 in Finland, 85 in Denmark, 180 in Norway and 521 in Sweden. Compared with the R&D expenditure of the sectors the size of the units covered also varies a lot, with the largest units in Finland, followed by Norway and Denmark. Iceland and Sweden cover many units with low R&D expenditure. In Finland, an average unit in the government sector had R&D expenditure of 90 million NOK in 2017, in Norway the corresponding number was 53 million NOK, in Denmark 25 million NOK, in Sweden 12 million NOK and in Iceland 4 million NOK.

Table 6 Characteristics of the GOVERD surveys in the Nordic countries, 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Denmark</th>
<th>Finland</th>
<th>Iceland</th>
<th>Norway</th>
<th>Sweden</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target population</td>
<td>Public institutes, hospitals, health administrations, libraries, archives, museums, collections funded by government</td>
<td>Known or supposed R&amp;D performers in sector S.13 General government (including S.121 Central bank), PNP sector S.15. Nomenclature of the Classification of Sectors 2012</td>
<td>Legal unit</td>
<td>Public research institutes and other institutions with R&amp;D outside HES, Hospitals other than university hospitals. Estimates for museums.</td>
<td>All government agencies, counties (including healthcare), municipalities, regional and local R&amp;D units and government funded research foundations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Types and number of institutions</td>
<td>Total: 85</td>
<td>Total: GOV: 67</td>
<td>Total: 34</td>
<td>Total 180: Research institutes (44), institutions performing R&amp;D</td>
<td>Total 521: Government agencies and government funded</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^5\) The difference between national and international sectorial division in Norway is described in reference number 2.
In the OECD NESTI group, there is currently ongoing work looking at how to increase comparability between countries about which units to include in the Government sector. The attribution of units to the “right” sector depends both on funding, control, and administration of the units as well as the organisation of the research and innovation system of each country.

1.3.2 Data collection and dissemination

All the Nordic countries conduct a survey to gather information on GOVERD. All the Nordic countries have extensive contact with central respondents and contact respondents when data are missing. Also contact with key users at ministries, and other central users are normal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Release of provisional data</th>
<th>Release of final data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>T+12</td>
<td>T+24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>T+10</td>
<td>T+10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>T+10</td>
<td>T+10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>T+10</td>
<td>T+12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>T+7 (from 2017)</td>
<td>T+11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 8 Main data of GOVERD survey in the Nordic countries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Administrative data source and use</th>
<th>Survey method and frequency</th>
<th>Quality measures, user contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>Annual survey (census)</td>
<td>Contact with ministries, European Commission, NESTI, Nordic countries. Joint use/provider-group for public R&amp;D statistics (HES+GOV+PNP)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>Official business registers (incl. gov. organizations) to define frame population</td>
<td>Annual survey (census)</td>
<td>Use of official registers of high quality, high response rates, well-trained staff. 2 reminders by letter, phone contact to important missing units. Meetings with Ministries, key STI policy experts and researchers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>Business register</td>
<td>CAWI/CATI. E-mail questionnaires</td>
<td>Contact with ministries, follow up by phone. Methodology tailored to the small number of R&amp;D performing units. Improved questionnaire. All interaction with respondents through project manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Annual survey (census)</td>
<td>Contact with ministries, meeting with key users. Recalls by email and phone. High coverage, extensive quality control during compilation, comparisons with earlier surveys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Official business registers (incl. gov. organizations) to define frame population</td>
<td>Annual survey (census)</td>
<td>Contact with ministries, meeting with key users. Recalls; letters, email, and phone. High coverage, extensive quality control during compilation, comparisons with earlier survey</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 1.4 The PNP sector

In all the Nordic countries the PNP (private-non-profit) sector is small. Denmark and Finland cover the PNP sector by the R&D survey of the government sector. In Finland, the survey goes to PNP institutions supposed to perform R&D. In Norway the PNP-sector is diminishing as an R&D performing sector.
Table 9 Characteristics of the PNP surveys in the Nordic countries, 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Target population</th>
<th>Survey method and frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>PNP organizations</td>
<td>Part of GOV survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>Supposed R&amp;D performers in the PNP sector (60 units)</td>
<td>Part of GOV survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>Survey in uneven years, estimates other years</td>
<td>Part of the BES survey following feedback from user needs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Very small as performing sector</td>
<td>No separate survey, incl. in GOV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>PNP institutions with R&amp;D as main purpose</td>
<td>Separate survey</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.5 Overall dissemination

All Nordic countries have regular meetings and other contact with key users of the statistics; ministries, research councils and other key stakeholders. The table gives an overview of the central publication channels for R&D statistics.

Table 10 Producers of R&D statistics and main channel of publishing R&D statistics in the Nordic countries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Production of R&amp;D statistics</th>
<th>Main publication</th>
<th>Statistical bank</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>Statistics Denmark</td>
<td>Innovation and Research 2018</td>
<td>StatBank Denmark, under Education and Knowledge</td>
<td>Research Development and Innovation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>Statistics Finland</td>
<td>Science, Technology and Information Society</td>
<td>Statistics Finland’s PX-Web databases, under Science, Technology and Information Society</td>
<td>Datakylsdetskrivning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>Statistics Iceland (Hagstofa)</td>
<td>Science and Technology</td>
<td>Database: R&amp;D and Statistics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Statistics Sweden</td>
<td>Education and research</td>
<td>Tables in statistical database, under Tables and graphs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2 Nordic R&D resources and R&D system

This chapter presents some of the main findings when comparing R&D in the Nordic countries. First, we present a collage showing main features of the Nordic R&D at country level. This includes:

- R&D expenditure by performing sector
- R&D expenditure by source of funds
- Total R&D expenditure, both in a map and a figure
- R&D expenditure as percentage of GDP
- R&D personnel by type of staff.

For more information on the Nordic R&D statistics log on to www.foustatistikbanken.no and find the Nordic R&D statistics there. Alternatively go to https://www.nifu.no/fou-statistiske/fou-i-norden/.
2.1 R&D resources

The Nordic countries’ total R&D expenditure amounts to about 1.9 per cent of world R&D in 2015. The share is declining (2.3 per cent in 2007) as other countries (mainly in Asia) are increasing their share of world R&D.

Strong R&D intensity in the Nordic countries

The Nordic countries are characterised by one of the highest R&D intensities in the world, and this especially goes for Sweden, Finland, and Denmark. Sweden had R&D expenditure of 3.4 per cent of GDP in 2017, Denmark 3.1 per cent, Finland 2.8 per cent, Iceland 2.1 per cent and Norway 2.1 per cent, see the Figures above.
Table 11 Share (per cent) of population, GDP, R&D and researchers (FTE) in 2007 and 2017 in the Nordic countries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>25.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>19.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>18.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>35.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nordic countries</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: World development indicators, World bank, national R&D statistics

Large differences in level of GDP and R&D among the Nordic countries

Table 1 shows the division of GDP, R&D expenditure, total population, and researchers (R&D full-time-equivalents) between the Nordic countries. The Norwegian share of Nordic GDP is higher (23 per cent) than its share of Nordic R&D expenditure (less than 17 per cent). The situation is opposite in Sweden which has a higher share of Nordic R&D expenditure (43 per cent) than the share of Nordic GDP (36 per cent). In the other countries size of the shares is more balanced. For Finland there has been a dramatic drop in its share of both R&D expenditure and researchers, due to economic difficulties.

All the Nordic countries have a high share of researchers (R&D FTE full-time-equivalents) in the population compared to OECD average or EU 28. Denmark has the highest share of researchers in the population.

Comparing the share of R&D expenditure with researchers (R&D FTE) show that researchers are more expensive in Sweden than in the other countries. The salary level is not very different between the Nordic countries, so this is an interesting question for the R&D statistical producers that needs further investigation.

Sweden spent 43 per cent of Nordic R&D

Among the Nordic countries, naturally Sweden is the big brother with R&D expenditure amounting to 43 per cent of total R&D in the Nordic countries in 2017. During the last ten-year period, Norway’s and Denmark’s shares have increased, and Iceland’s share is stable. During the last couple of years, the Norwegian business enterprise sector has reported the strongest growth among the Nordic countries, while there has been a strong decrease in Finland in all sectors due to the above-mentioned economic difficulties and the developments in the ICT sector in
the country. The development of Finnish R&D seems to stabilize in 2017 with an increase in current prices at 4 per cent. This is the first increase in R&D expenditure since 2011.

**Different sectorial division among Nordic countries’ R&D**

The 2017 numbers show that the business enterprise sector stands for the majority of performed R&D in the Nordic countries; from 60–70 per cent, see Figures above. The share is the same as in other top R&D performers like the US and China. Norway stands out with 53 per cent of R&D in the Nordic business enterprise sector. Norway and Denmark have the largest higher education sector makes up 33 per cent of all R&D in the country. In Denmark, there were several mergers of research institutions into the higher education sector some years ago. Norway has the largest government sector, constituting 14 per cent. While in Denmark the Government sector share was only 2 per cent. Also, Sweden has a small share of R&D in the government sector at 3 per cent.

### 2.2 Nordic R&D systems

This section includes diagrams of each Nordic R&D and innovation system. The diagrams are based on several different sources (EU RIO Country reports, national S&T reports, Researchgate, internet) and is restructured and updated hence to new names and developments. The diagrams give an overall picture of the most important players in the system with arrows that shows funding and influence. The overall systems have clear similarities, but the number and roles of involved actors and research councils differs.

One of the main differences being the level of centralising among R&D and innovation funding actors. Whereas in Norway the funding actors are few and funding are centralised the opposite is the case in Sweden and to some extent Denmark and Finland. Another key difference is the level of private funds who play a significant role in Denmark and Sweden as oppose to in Norway.

The actual influence and role of the actors is of course difficult to capture in such a figure. To a varying degree the countries have dedicated councils for research policy advise and the role and size of research foundations vary. For all countries, the actual R&D and innovation systems are also influence from abroad (EU Framework programme for research), strength of the economy, historical traditions, and political priorities.
The Danish R&D and innovation system builds upon a centrally organised funding infrastructure. The main responsibility lies with the Ministry of Higher Education and Science. But other ministries also have tasks, e.g. The Ministry for Business and Growth have tasks related to innovation. The Ministry of Energy, Utilities and Climate, Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Ministry of Environment and Food all have research and innovation programmes. These ministries receive advice on R&D and innovation policies from The Council for Research and Innovation policy and a Public Research Committee.

To implement policies the above-mentioned ministries, have their specific agencies implementing the policies. The agencies for the Ministry of Education and Science are the agency for institutions and educational grants and the Danish Agency for Science and Higher education.

A third level in the system contains funding allocation entities. These includes the National Research Foundation, the Independent Council for Research and Innovation Fund Denmark. In addition, private funds play a significant role and increasing role as R&D and innovation financier. The fourth level consists of the performers, both public and private. Besides from higher education sector, PROs and companies there are also 7 GTS institutes delivering technological knowhow and expertise to private businesses and to public institutions, although their contribution is somewhat limited, less than 1 per cent of total R&D, compared to the Norwegian research institution sector.
The Finnish R&D system is somewhat centralised. National guidelines, strategies and funding are strong guidelines for national R&D policy. Yet a mix of national and local administration allows for regional differences and a relatively high level of autonomy.

The Finnish research and innovation system are divided into four strategic and operational levels. The Parliament of Finland and the Finnish government set the general guidelines and decides on national goals. In matters related to research, technology and innovation policy, the latter is supported by a high-level advisory body called the Research and Innovation Policy Council, though the role is not as well established as in the past.

The second level consists of the ministries, of which the Ministry of Education and Culture (MEC) and the Ministry of Economic Affairs and Employment (MEAE) play the main role in research and innovation policy. MEAE is responsible for planning and budgeting innovation policy. MEC is responsible for matters related to higher education and science policy.

On the third level of the Finnish R&D and Innovation system there are the competitive R&I funding and the R&D funding agencies, Academy of Finland, Tekes – the Finnish Funding Agency for Innovation, Sitra – the Finnish Innovation Fund and state-owned financing companies Finnvera, TESI and Finnish Industry Investment Ltd (FII). The fourth level is comprised of organisations that conduct research: both public and private, with a higher education sector containing a mix of public and private entities, PRO’s and companies.
The Icelandic R&D and innovation system is addressed at the national level and only has a limited regional dimension. The Science and Technology Policy Council (STPC) is the main policy-making body developing and coordinating Icelandic R&D and innovation policy. The main ministry for R&D and innovation policy is the Ministry for Education, Science and Culture, but other ministries also play a role in the system.

The Icelandic Centre for Research (Rannis) plays a key role at an operational level in supporting research and innovation and reports directly to the Ministry for Education, Science and Culture. Rannis administers most of the competitive R&D and innovation funding available in Iceland as well as handling implementation of most research programmes.

Entities that conduct R&D at Iceland are both public and private, with a few large companies encountering a large proportion of private R&D.
The Norwegian R&D system is dispersed at ministry level where a broad spectrum of ministries is involved. The main contributor of R&D in Norway is the Ministry of Education and Research. Other contributors are the Ministries of Trade, Industry and Fisheries and Health and Care Services. The Ministry of Defence also has a fairly large proportion of public R&D funding, although heavily concentrated around one performer (FFI, the Norwegian Defence Research Establishment).

At administrative level the R&I system is focused around fewer actors. The main actor is the RCN. They handle more than 25 per cent of public R&D funding, surpassed only by the funding of universities and state university colleges. Whereas the Ministry of Education and Research and the Ministry of Trade, Industry and Fisheries are the main contributors to RCN. In total RCN administrate funding from 15 ministries. One aspect of RCN is that the council covers all research disciplines and sectors including support to research-based innovation. In addition to funding research activities RCN also has a mandate to advice the government on research policy and to facilitate network and communication between various actors in the Norwegian R&I system.

Innovation Norway and the Industrial Development Corporation of Norway (SIVA) are the primary public institutions providing support for innovation. Innovation Norway’s main objective is promoting innovation at the regional and national level, with a focus on small and medium sized companies. SIVA is involved in the provision of science parks, incubators and services mainly to start-up firms.

R&D and innovation performing sectors consist of both public and private entities. Yet a difference compared to the other Nordic Countries is a large research institute sector, which encountered for 20 per cent of total R&D in Norway in 2017.
In general, the Swedish R&D system is diverse when focusing on funders of R&D. The system is decentralised and lacks central coordination, with the academic sector in a dominating role on the performer side and a diverse policy formulation and implementation landscape. To systemise and align the research and innovation funding from government levels, the Research Bill and a National Innovation Strategy have been implemented. The main policy directives emanate from these. The development and configuration of the Research Bill and the National Innovation Strategy emerge as a complex backward and forward iterative process of upward and downward consultations between central bodies in the R&I system.

The government bodies are the Research Policy Council (part of the Ministry of Education) and the Innovation Policy Council (part of the Ministry of Industry, Energy and Communication). Other key actors are various funding ministries, as well as the central public agencies such as VINNOVA (the Swedish Governmental Agency for Innovation Systems), the Research Council, The Energy Agency and Tilväxtverket. Vinnova particularly focuses on innovations linked to R&D, and it carries out a series of programs targeted towards both academia and the business sectors.

In addition to the funding agencies it might be worth mentioning the broad range of R&D funding foundations, often semi-public. These include a diversity of foundations with different offsets and histories, e.g. the Knowledge Foundation supporting research and innovation in the smaller, non-university HEIs (de nya läroseter), the Foundation for Strategic Research funds research projects with an established potential for innovation. And finally, there are private foundations such as the Wallenberg Foundations.
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Appendices

Appendix 1: Institutions covered in the 2017 R&D survey

Denmark

Institutions included in R&D-survey 2017

Business enterprise sector

Firms with 100+ employees: census. Sample from firms with 2–100 employees. 4,968 enterprises in survey 2015 and in 2017: 3,321 enterprises in survey. Names not published.

Higher education sector

There are 31 higher education institutions with 8 universities, 22 university colleges (incl. 9 business academies/erhvervsakademier) and 1 other institution (Probation Learning Centre).

Names not available

Government sector

There are 85 Government institutions: Public institutes, hospitals, health administrations, libraries, archives, museums, collections mainly funded by government.

Names not available
Finland

Institutions included in R&D-survey 2017

Business enterprise sector

Firms with 100+ employees: census. Sample from firms with 10–99 employees. 6 731 enterprises in survey. Names not published.

Higher education sector

Universities

University of Helsinki
University of Turku
Åbo Akademi University
University of Oulu
University of Tampere (later merged to foundation-based Tampere University)
University of Jyväskylä
Aalto University
Helsinki University of Technology
University of Vaasa
Lappeenranta University of Technology (later named to Lappeenranta-Lahti University of Technology LUT)
Tampere University of Technology (later merged to foundation-based Tampere University)

University of Eastern Finland
University of Lapland
University of the Arts Helsinki
National Defence University

University hospitals

Helsinki University Hospital
Turku University Hospital
Tampere University Hospital
Oulu University Hospital
Kuopio University Hospital
Clinical Research Institute Helsinki University Central Hospital Ltd

Universities of applied sciences

Arcada University of Applied Sciences
Centria University of Applied Sciences
Diaconia University of Applied Sciences
Haaga-Helia University of Applied Sciences
HUMAK University of Applied Sciences
Häme University of Applied Sciences
JAMK University of Applied Sciences
Kajaani University of Applied Sciences
Karelia University of Applied Sciences
Lahti University of Applied Sciences
Lapland University of Applied Sciences (former Kemi-Tornio University of Applied Sciences and Rovaniemi University of Applied Sciences merged)
Laurea University of Applied Sciences
Metropolia University of Applied Sciences
Novia University of Applied Sciences
Oulu University of Applied Sciences
Saimaa University of Applied Sciences
Satakunta University of Applied Sciences
Savonia University of Applied Sciences
Seinäjoki University of Applied Sciences
Tampere University of Applied Sciences
Turku University of Applied Sciences
Vaasa University of Applied Sciences
Police University College
Åland University of Applied Sciences
South-Eastern Finland University of Applied Sciences (former Mikkeli University of Applied Sciences and Kymenlaakso University of Applied Sciences merged)

**Government sector**

Bank of Finland
Finnish National Agency for Education (EDUFI)
Finnish Safety and Chemicals Agency (Tukes)
Ministry of Economic Affairs and Employment (MEAE)
Ministry of the Environment
National Bureau of Investigation
Prime Minister's Office
Senate Properties
Statistics Finland
Tekes (new name 1.1.2018: Business Finland)
The Centres for Economic Development, Transport and the Environment (ELY Centres)
The European Institute for Crime Prevention and Control, affiliated with the United Nations (HEUNI)
The Finnish Border Guard
The Finnish Centre for Pensions
The Finnish Defence Forces
The Finnish Forest Centre
The Finnish Heritage Agency
The Finnish Innovation Fund Sitra
The Finnish Medicines Agency Fimea
The Institute for the Languages of Finland
The National Audiovisual Institute (KAVI)
The Nordic Welfare Centre Finland
The Social Insurance Institution of Finland (KELA)
Yle, the Finnish Broadcasting Company
Largest municipalities (20)

Research institutes
Finnish Environment Institute (SYKE)
Finnish Food Authority (1.1.2019: merged into Finnish Food Safety Authority EVIRA)
Finnish Institute of International Affairs (FIIA)
Finnish Institute of Occupational Health (TTL)
Finnish Meteorological Institute
Geological Survey of Finland (GTK)
National Institute for Health and Welfare (THL)
National Land Survey of Finland/ Finnish Geospatial Research Institute (FGI)
Natural Resources Institute Finland (LUKE)
Radiation and Nuclear Safety Authority (STUK)
VATT Institute for Economic Research
VTT Technical Research Centre of Finland Ltd

PNP sector
Names not published as they are private, 48 R&D performing units
Iceland

Institutions included in R&D-survey 2017

Business enterprise sector

Census. 537 enterprises (1 012 in 2015). Names not published.

Higher education sector

Universities:
- University of Iceland
- University of Akureyri
- Bifröst University
- Reykjavík university
- Hólar University College
- The Agricultural University of Iceland

Listaháskóli Íslands:
- Iceland University of the Arts

Research institutions under Háskóli Íslands (University of Iceland)
- Landspitali- The National University Hospital of Iceland
- The Science Institute
- Keldur: The Institute for Experimental Pathology

Government sector

Not available
Norway

Institutions included in R&D-survey 2017

Business enterprise sector

Firms with 50+ employees: census. Sample from firms with 5–49 employees. 5 646 enterprises in survey. Names of firms are not published.

*Research institutes and other institutions*

- Akvaplan-niva
- Christian Michelsen Research
- International Research Institute of Stavanger
- Nofima
- Norwegian Geotechnical Institute
- Norwegian Computing Center
- Norwegian Institute of Wood Technology
- RISE Fire Research
- RISE PFI
- SINTEF Energy Research
- SINTEF Nord
- SINTEF Ocean
- SINTEF Petroleum Research
- SINTEF Manufacturing
- SINTEF Ålesund
- SINTEF
- Teknova
- Uni Research Polytec

Higher education sector

*Universities*

- University of Bergen
- University of Oslo
- University of Tromsø - The Arctic University of Norway
- Norwegian University of Life Sciences
- University of Stavanger
- University of Agder
- Nord University
- Norwegian University of Science and Technology

*Universities of applied science (vitenskapelige høgskoler)*
The Oslo School of Architecture and Design
The Free Faculty of Theology
BI Norwegian Business School
Molde University College - Specialized University in Logistics
Norwegian School of Economics
Norwegian School of Sport Sciences
Norwegian State Academy of Music
VID Specialized University

State university colleges (statlige høgskoler)
Inland Norway University of Applied Sciences
Oslo and Akershus University College
University College of Southeast Norway
Østfold University College
Volda University College
Western Norway University of Applied Sciences
Saami University College

Other higher education institutions
Queen Maud University College of Early Childhood Education
The Norwegian Defence University College
Kristiania University College
Oslo National Academy of the Arts
Lovisenberg Diaconal University College
NLA University College
The Norwegian Police University College
The University Centre in Svalbard
Westerdals Oslo School of Arts, Communication and Technology

University hospitals
St. Olavs Hospital HF
The University Hospital of North Norway
The Akershus University Hospital
Oslo University Hospital
Helse Bergen

Government sector

Hospitals
Beitostølen helsesportsenter
Betanien Hospital
Betanien sykehus
Diakonhjemmet sykehus AS
Finnmarkssykehuset HF
Frambu senter for sjeldne diagnoser
Haraldsplass Diakonale Sykehus
Haugesund Sanitetsforening Revmatismesykehus AS
Helgelandssykehuset HF
Helse Fonna HF
Helse Førde HF
Helse Møre og Romsdal HF
Helse Nord-Trøndelag HF
Helse Vest IKT
LHL-klinikkene Glittre og Feiring
Lovisenberg Diakonale Sykehus AS
Martina Hansens Hospital
Modum Bad
NKS Jæren distriktspsykiatriske senter AS
NKS Olaviken alderspsykiatriske sykehus AS
Nordlandssykehuset HF
Rehabiliteringssenteret AiR
Revmatismesykehuset AS
Sjukehusapoteka Vest HF
Solli distriktspsykiatriske senter
Stiftelsen Catosenteret
Sunnaas sykehus HF, Sunnaas Rehabilitation Hospital
Sykehusapotek Nord HF
Sykehusapotekene i Midt-Norge HF
Sykehusapotekene i Sør-Øst HF
Sykehuset i Vestfold HF
Sykehuset Innlandet HF
Sykehuset Telemark HF
Sykehuset Østfold HF
Sørlandet Sykehus HF
Tyrilistiftelsen
Vestre Viken HF
Voss DPS - NKS Bjørkeli

Research institutes and other institutions
Agder Research
Labour Movement Archives and Library
The National Archives of Norway
Chr. Michelsen Institute
Norwegian Nobel Institute
Sweden

Institutions included in 2017 R&D-survey

Business enterprise sector

Firms with 200+ employees: census. Sample from firms with 10–199 employees. 7 756 enterprises in survey. Names not published.

Higher education sector

All counties, municipalities and local and regional R&D units are included. Institutions presented on micro level are listed below.

Umeå University
Luleå University of Technology
Uppsala University
Government sector
This sector includes all counties, municipalities and local and regional R&D units. Covers about 550 institutions. We list institutions presented on micro level below.

The Swedish Labour Court
Swedish Agency for Government Employers
National Archive of Recorded Sound and Moving Images
National Institute for Working Life
National Centre for Architecture and Design
Swedish Labour Market Agency
The National Board for Consumer Disputes
Swedish Work Environment Authority
Swedish Accounting Standards Board
National Housing Credit Guarantee Board
The Ombudsman for Children
Swedish Companies Registration Office
National Board of Housing, Building and Planning
Swedish National Council for Crime Prevention
The Swedish Crime Victim Compensation and Support Authority
Swedish Trade and Invest Council
Swedish Rail Administration
Swedish Enforcement Authority
Swedish Transport Administration
Swedish Employment Service
Central Ethical Review Board
Centre for Flexible Learning
Swedish Board for Study Support
The Swedish Data Protection Authority
Animal welfare agency
Ombudsman against Ethnic Discrimination
Equality Ombudsman
The Swedish National Courts Administration
Judgments Board
Swedish Economic Crimes Authority
The Swedish eHealth Agency
Inspectorate of Strategic Products
Swedish Institute for Growth Policy Studies
Swedish Integration Board
The Health and Social Care Inspectorate
Equal Opportunities Ombudsman
Office of the Chancellor of Justice
Parliamentary Ombudsmen
Swedish Rail Agency
Nuclear Waste Fund
Legal, Financial and Administrative Services Agency
National Library of Sweden
Swedish Emergency Management Agency
Swedish Coast Guard
Swedish Chemicals Agency
The Knowledge Foundation
National Council for Quality and Development
Swedish Competition Authority
Swedish Arts Grants Committee
National Board of Trade
National Institute of Economic Research
Swedish Consumer Agency
Komptetensrådet för utveckling i staten
Swedish Arts Council
Swedish Prison and Probation Service
Swedish Agency for Advanced Vocational Education
County Administrative Board of Blekinge
County Administrative Board of Dalarna
Living History Forum
Dental and Pharmaceutical Benefits Agency
Swedish Civil Aviation Authority
Air Navigation Services of Sweden
County Administrative Board of Gävleborg
County Administrative Board of Gotland
County Administrative Board of Halland
Swedish Gambling Authority
County Administrative Board of Jämtland
County Administrative Board of Jönköping
County Administrative Board of Kalmar
County Administrative Board of Kronoberg
Swedish Institute for Food and Agricultural Economics
The Swedish Mapping, Cadastral and Land Registration Authority
County Administrative Board of Norrbotten
County Administrative Board of Östergötland
County Administrative Board of Örebro
Royal Armoury, Skokloster Castle and Hallwyl Museum Foundation
County Administrative Board of Skåne
County Administrative Board of Södermanland
County Administrative Board of Stockholm
County Administrative Board of Uppsala
Medical Products Agency
County Administrative Board of Värmland
County Administrative Board of Västmanland
County Administrative Board of Västerbotten
County Administrative Board of Västra Götaland
County Administrative Board of Västernorrland
The Swedish Market Court
Swedish National Mediation Office
Swedish Intercountry Adoptions Authority
The Swedish Migration Agency
Swedish Foundation for Strategic Environmental Research
Swedish Agency for Cultural Policy Analysis
the Moderna Museet
Swedish Net University Agency
Swedish Civil Contingencies Agency
The Swedish National Agency for Education
The Swedish Agency for Health and Care Services Analysis
The Swedish Broadcasting Authority
Nordic Africa Institute
Nordic Genetic Resource Centre
National Museum of Fine Arts and Prince Eugens Waldemarsudde
National Board for Public Procurement
Nordregio
The Swedish Museum of Natural History
Swedish National Board for Industrial and Technical Development
Swedish Environmental Protection Agency
Nordic Welfare Centre
National Board of Appeal for Student Aid
Appeals Bord for the Total Defence
Foundation for Baltic and East European Studies
Postverkets avvecklingsorganisation
Court of Patent Appeals
The Swedish Pensions Agency
Swedish Polar Research Secretariat
The Swedish Police Authority
Swedish Patent Attorneys Board
Premium Pension Authority
Swedish Patent and Registration Office
Press Subsidies Council
Swedish Post and Telecom Agency
National Archives
Swedish National Heritage Board
Swedish Prosecution Authority
the Riksbank
Swedish Riksdag Administration
Swedish National Debt Office
Swedish National Audit Office
Government Offices
National Board of Forensic Medicine
Supervisory Board of Public Accountants
National Police Board
Swedish National Space Board
National Public Transport Agency
Radio and TV Authority
Swedish Exhibitions Agency
Sami Parliament
Swedish agency for development evaluation
Swedish Commission on Security and Integrity Protection
Sami Education Board
Swedish Security Service
National Board of Film Classification
Dictionary of Swedish National Biography
Statens bostadsnämnd
Swedish Agency for Health Technology Assessment and Assessment of Social Services
Statistics Sweden
The Swedish Institute of Educational Research
National Swedish Museums of Military History
National Property Board of Sweden
Swedish Geotechnical Institute
Geological Survey of Sweden
Swedish Accident Investigation Board
National Historical Museums
Swedish Institute
Swedish International Development Cooperation Agency
Swedish Institute for European Policy Studies
Swedish Institute for Transport and Communications and Analysis
Stockholm International Peace Research Institute
The Swedish National Board of Institutional Care
Swedish National Attendant’s Service
Swedish Institute for Special Needs Education
Statens inspektion för försvarsunderrättelseverksamhet
Swedish Maritime Administration
Swedish Board of Agriculture
Public Art Agency Sweden
Swedish Nuclear Power Inspectorate
Swedish National Agency for Education
Swedish Schools Inspectorate
Swedish Tax Agency
National Food Agency, Sweden
Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute
Swedish Institute for Infectious Disease Control
Swedish Performing Arts Agency
National Museums of World Culture
Institute for Language and Folklore
National Board of Health and Welfare
National Board of Psychological Defence
Specialskolemyndigheten
National Agency for Special Needs Education and Schools
National Government Employee Pensions Board
Swedish Rescue Services Agency
National Government Service Center
Swedish Foundation for Strategic Research
National Maritime Museums in Sweden
National Radiation Protection Institute
Swedish Radiation Safety Authority
Signalspaningsnämnden
Swedish Commission for Government Support to Faith Communities
Swedish Energy Agency
The Swedish Foundation for International Cooperation in Research and Higher Education
Swedish Agency for Public Management
The Swedish Media Council
Swedish Seed Testing and Certification Institute
National Veterinary Institute
Swedish Board for Accreditation and Conformity Assessment
National Plant Variety Board
Swedish Forest Agency
Swedish Tourist Authority
Swedish Agency for Economic and Regional Growth
All government agencies
Swedish Agency for Accessible Media
National Service Administration
Transport Analysis
Swedish Transport Agency
Board of Customs
Swedish Agency for Growth Policy Analysis
The National Agency for Public Procurement
The Swedish Council for Higher Education
The Swedish Higher Education Authority
Aliens Appeals Board
Försvarsunderrättelsedomstolen
Swedish Agency for Youth and Civil Society
Swedish Institute of International Affairs
Election Authority
National Commission on Validation
National Water Supply and Sewage Tribunal
Vårdfal Foundation
Swedish Administrative Development Agency
Swedish Agency for Higher Education Services
Vinnova
Swedish Research Council
Swedish National Road and Transport Research Institute
Swedish Road Administration
Swedish National Agency for Higher Vocational Education
## Appendix 2: Stocktaking the Eurostat Quality Reports 2015

### Business Enterprise Sector

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Denmark</th>
<th>Finland</th>
<th>Iceland</th>
<th>Norway</th>
<th>Sweden</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Target population</strong></td>
<td>Known or supposed R&amp;D performers, 17,389 enterprises. 2017: 18,472 enterprises</td>
<td>Known or supposed R&amp;D performers, 16,059 enterprises (legal units).</td>
<td>R&amp;D performing legal units/enterprises operating in Iceland, 1,012 legal units.</td>
<td>All active enterprises in the Business Enterprise sector, 17,640 enterprises</td>
<td>All enterprises with at least 10 employees and all research institutes (regardless of size) serving the enterprise sector, approx. 42,000 enterprises.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of units in the survey</strong></td>
<td>3,321 (4,968 in 2015)</td>
<td>6,132 (6,731 in 2015)</td>
<td>536 (1,012 in 2015)</td>
<td>5,646</td>
<td>7,756 (7,705 in 2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Frame</strong></td>
<td>Business register</td>
<td>Business register</td>
<td>Business register</td>
<td>Business register</td>
<td>Business register</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>R&amp;D panel approach: R&amp;D (t-1) / other sources</strong></td>
<td>Yes / Yes</td>
<td>Yes / Yes</td>
<td>Yes / Yes</td>
<td>Yes / No</td>
<td>Yes / Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Identification of the R&amp;D panel</strong></td>
<td>R&amp;D in the earlier R&amp;D survey (5 mill. DKK). Reported innovation expenditures in the earlier CIS (5 mill. DKK). Belongs to the Advanced Technology Group (GTS) NACE 72.</td>
<td>R&amp;D in the earlier R&amp;D survey (no monetary limit). Reported continuous R&amp;D in the earlier CIS. Business Finland (former Tekes) R&amp;D funding. Another small public R&amp;D funder. NACE 72.</td>
<td>R&amp;D in the earlier surveys (R&amp;D, CIS). Grant applications or other administrative data showing R&amp;D. NACE 72.</td>
<td>Known R&amp;D performers from last R&amp;D survey (above a certain threshold for R&amp;D activity; &lt; 3 million NOK in R&amp;D expenditure).</td>
<td>R&amp;D in prev. (5 MSEK extramural + intramural) if num. employed &gt; 10. Census in NACE 72, research institutes surveying BES and enterprises with employees &gt;199.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NACE and size coverage</strong></td>
<td>All enterprises with 100+ employees. Sample for 2-100 employees. Service industries considered not relevant excluded.</td>
<td>All NACE covered. NACE 47, 55-56, 68-69, 75-88 and 96-99: only enterprises with 100+ employees as census (=less R&amp;D intensive NACE). Other NACE: sample 10-99, census 100+. As for the R&amp;D panel there is no cut-off size.</td>
<td>All NACE covered. All NACE and size-classes</td>
<td>Census survey for enterprises with 50 employees or more, exceptions: a sample of 35 per cent were drawn for 50-99 employees in NACE 41-43, 46, 49-53 (large number of enterprises). 5-49 employees: all enterprises with large R&amp;D expenditures (&lt; 3 mill NOK)</td>
<td>Covers all NACE activities. All research institutes serving the enterprise sector included. All enterprises with 199+ employees. Sample for 10-199 employees.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indicator</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional breakdown</td>
<td>Nuts 2 by code in business register based on main address.</td>
<td>Nuts 3 Enterprise divides total personnel, FTE and expenditure into municipalities (local kind of activity, LKAU units). Distribution of researchers estimated.</td>
<td>No regional breakdown.</td>
<td>Nuts 3 Enterprise divides FTE and expenditure into local kind of activity, LKAU units.</td>
<td>Nuts 3 In Sweden, NUTS3 = county/&quot;län&quot;. Among BE w/ &gt; 199 emp.; BE divides HC, FTE and RSE FTE by NUTS3. Among BE w/ &lt; 200 emp.; Imputed distribution on NUTS3 based on no. of emp. in each NUTS3-region.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Full R&amp;D survey for reference period odd years</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>Every second year (odd years)</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>Full R&amp;D survey for reference period odd years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined to CIS</td>
<td>Yes until 2017 survey which is separate R&amp;D</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statistical unit</td>
<td>Enterprise</td>
<td>Enterprise, group level reporting allowed</td>
<td>Legal units used initially for surveying, but then legal units united into enterprises at later stages.</td>
<td>The enterprise is the main statistical unit, but the enterprises are asked to specify intramural R&amp;D and R&amp;D person-years for each local kind of activity unit (LKAU) (if more than one) as well.</td>
<td>Enterprise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal basis</td>
<td>Mandatory, enforced by penalties by central data collecting unit who run a legal procedure when enterprises do not answer to two or more surveys.</td>
<td>Mandatory by Statistics Act, not enforced</td>
<td>Mandatory by Statistics Act, not enforced</td>
<td>Mandatory, enforced by penalties.</td>
<td>Mandatory, currently not enforced.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indicator</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighting</td>
<td>Stratum by number of employees, turnover, NACE and region. SRS, weights by number of units (N/n), calibration by CLAN.</td>
<td>R&amp;D panel updated by a sample. Compensation for the non-response only, i.e. weighting not expanded to the sampling frame. Stratum by NACE and size class, weights by turnover.</td>
<td>No weights. Survey aimed to catch all R&amp;D performers, with imputation used in cases of non-responses.</td>
<td>Statistics Norway uses the inverse of the sampling fraction i.e. using the number of enterprises, to calculate how many enterprises that have R&amp;D activity (all variables that are number of units, yes or no questions etc.). For all the numerical variables such as R&amp;D expenditure, R&amp;D personnel etc. number of employees was used as weight. Calibration by SAS-macro developed in-house.</td>
<td>Neyman allocation, weight N/n, by number of enterprises. Stratum: the frame stratified by NACE, size (number of employees) and &quot;type of enterprises&quot;. The &quot;type of enterprises&quot; stratification was done as &quot;ordinary enterprises&quot;, &quot;research Institute&quot; and &quot;enterprises that had more than 5 million SEK in total R&amp;D expenditure 2011&quot;.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication with users and respondents</td>
<td>Ongoing cooperation key users (Ministry of Science, Innovation and Higher Education). Respondents’ experiences with the questionnaires are monitored specifically. This is done by including a few questions at the end of the electronic questionnaire. The answers given by the respondents are fed into the ongoing process to raise the quality of the statistics.</td>
<td>Continuous monitoring of the feedback from the major users. The current online questionnaire (PM 2015 adopted) has been tested by the Survey laboratory. In the spring 2018 data collection response burden will be measured.</td>
<td>We have not done a user satisfaction survey, but we have presented the results to some ministries.</td>
<td>Statistics Norway does not undertake a national user satisfaction survey per se. Instead, regular meetings are held with key users. At these meetings the users are encouraged to evaluate previous surveys, as well as suggest changes or amendments to future surveys.</td>
<td>Statistics Sweden arranges regular meetings with our primary users to take into account their suggestions for improvements. Also, in 2012 a user survey was conducted that covered all R&amp;D surveys and the innovation survey.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampling error</td>
<td>Coefficients of variation calculated by CLAN. Based on the CVs the impact of sampling error is small.</td>
<td>Not estimated as there is only compensation for non-response. There might be some underestimation as the sample which updates the panel is not weighted to</td>
<td>N/A census</td>
<td>A model-based prediction variance was estimated. The sample design and weighting has been taken into account. Impact small, relevant</td>
<td>Based on the CVs the impact of sampling error is small.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indicator</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Actions to reduce measurement error</strong></td>
<td>the frame but the magnitude of error can safely be assumed small.</td>
<td>Detailed instructions accompany the survey questionnaire, respondent support by phone and email. The online questionnaire assists the respondent by alerting logical inconsistencies, missing items etc. Most recent enterprise interviews on the understanding of the R&amp;D definition were conducted in 2017 in the context of FM 2015 implementation.</td>
<td>Survey was designed with close attention to clarity, basing on feedback from testers and experience from last data collection. During the data collection period, there were follow-up interviews to respondents in cases of high R&amp;D expenditure and in cases of software developers.</td>
<td>There are several measures to try to limit the possibility of wrong values: - Automatic controls/checks in the Web questionnaire when filling by the enterprises - Checking consistency over time - Going through questionnaires with experienced auditors - The reported data is also checked against the annual reports of the enterprises - Checks for each NACE and employment group, which tell us if there are some &quot;odd&quot; values in the data. Extended information in the introduction letter</td>
<td>- Questioner tested by methodological dept. via cognitive interviews. Focus on clarity and availability of necessary information. - Automated controls, a few “hard”; forcing the respondent to change/comment the oddity, but most “soft; asking the respondent to confirm oddity and comment. - Extensive “education” of data-collection personnel. - Weekly meetings w/ data-collectors to assess oddities. Results from these recorded to ensure equal handling of similar cases. - Telephone contact w/ top ~ 40 performers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unit response rate (un-weighted)</strong></td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>77% (82% in 2015)</td>
<td>96% (2015)</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recalls / Reminders</strong></td>
<td>5 reminders were sent out to non-responding enterprises, followed by a telephone reminder.</td>
<td>Two reminders (letters). Biggest missing units contacted also by phone.</td>
<td>Repeated reminders in the form of phone calls, with non-respondents being prioritized with consideration to administrative data and responses of similar enterprises.</td>
<td>Two reminders.</td>
<td>Two reminders (letters). Important units telephoned.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Item non-response</strong></td>
<td>Very small, impu-tation by It procedure and expertise evaluations</td>
<td>Expenditure 2%, and personnel (FTE) 7%, researchers (FTE) 14%</td>
<td>18% - same as unit non-response rate</td>
<td>In most variables: non-existent, but some variables have a small amount of item non-response</td>
<td>In most variables: non-existent, but some variables have a small amount of item non-response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indicator</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of final release of provisional national data</td>
<td>T+12</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>T+10</td>
<td>T+9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of final release of final national data</td>
<td>T+23</td>
<td>T+10</td>
<td>T+10</td>
<td>T+14</td>
<td>T+12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissemination</td>
<td>Online publication and databases. No separate press release (which in practice is the front page of the online publication).</td>
<td>In accordance with the code of conduct of Statistics Iceland, release of official statistics included a press release. Statistical yearbook of Statistics Iceland, for 2017.</td>
<td>The release of the data is made public through a press release on Statistics Norway's web pages. Online database for R&amp;D that include the most important variables back in time.</td>
<td>Only press release, no press conference. Electronic publications and tables are published online, Statistics Sweden's home page</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Higher education sector:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Denmark</th>
<th>Finland</th>
<th>Iceland</th>
<th>Norway</th>
<th>Sweden</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Population</strong></td>
<td>Target population</td>
<td>According to FM recommendations. All universities, university hospitals and tertiary education institutions performing R&amp;D</td>
<td>According to FM recommendations (from 2016 also the National Defense University)</td>
<td>All universities and their associated research institutions, along with teaching hospitals</td>
<td>All HEI with R&amp;D above a certain level (based on bibliometrics, number of professors, PhD’s etc.)</td>
<td>FM15 definition, except for university hospitals* (see below). Frame population: All HEIs with revenues of research and postgraduate education according to the data collection of Swedish Higher Education Authority</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Types and number of institutions, including university hospitals (see also FM Table 9.1)

- **Denmark**: Total: 10 universities, 540 departments, 15 university hospitals
- **Finland**: Total: 46 (2017: 15 universities, 6 university clinics, 25 universities of applied sciences)
- **Iceland**: Total: 10
- **Norway**: Total: 53 higher education institutions (8 universities, 8 universities of applied sciences, 13 other higher education institutions, 18 state university colleges and 6 university hospitals) (2017: 38 units, due to several mergers between universities and state university colleges)
- **Sweden**: Total: 39 Higher education institutions. Universities (16), University colleges (14), University colleges of the arts (4), independent (4), Research institute (1). University hospitals are only included to the extent where the research is funded through Higher Education. The county councils (which own the university hospitals) own funds are not included.

### Statistical unit

- **HEI department, university hospital**: University institute, university hospital or university of applied sciences. Calculation also on the
- **College, university hospital etc.**: University institute/center, university college institute/department or university hospital

* University hospitals are only included to the extent where the research is funded through Higher Education. The county councils (which own the university hospitals) own funds are not included.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Denmark</th>
<th>Finland</th>
<th>Iceland</th>
<th>Norway</th>
<th>Sweden</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of sub-units surveyed (department/institute)</td>
<td>550 units (approximately)</td>
<td>1100 sub-units of the universities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Not available. HEIs report data on the highest level.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Administrative data</strong></td>
<td>Data source</td>
<td>University administrations</td>
<td>University administrations, Statistics Finland, Ministry of Education and Culture, wage register of the Confederation of Finnish Industries</td>
<td>Central government accounting system, HEI administrations, financing bodies such as the Research Council of Norway, Directorate of Public Construction and Property</td>
<td></td>
<td>R&amp;D expenditures are derived from data collected by Swedish Higher Education Authority where HEI annually reports economical figures derived from their accounting systems. Register of personnel in higher education, register of post-graduate students (including salary data)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Type of data</td>
<td>Data on financing (detailed national data on funding sources on transfer funds and exchange funds) and R&amp;D expenditure</td>
<td>Data on personnel, research expenditures, education registers</td>
<td>Data on accounting, personnel, funding, investments</td>
<td></td>
<td>R&amp;D expenditure census: Data on funding and depreciations. R&amp;D personnel (time-use) survey: Personnel data used to define statistical frame for time-use survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Survey</strong></td>
<td>Data collection method</td>
<td>Census</td>
<td>Census (all) and register data (universities)</td>
<td>Census (Excel questionnaire)</td>
<td>Census</td>
<td>R&amp;D expenditure census among HEIs R&amp;D personnel (time-use) survey: among HES personnel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Data source/provider</td>
<td>All HEI and university hospitals</td>
<td>All HEI and university hospitals</td>
<td>All HEI and university hospitals</td>
<td>All HEI hospitals</td>
<td>All HEI with revenues of research and postgraduate education Individuals in HES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theme</td>
<td>Indicator</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theme</td>
<td>Indicator</td>
<td>Type of data</td>
<td>Type of data</td>
<td>Type of data</td>
<td>Type of data</td>
<td>Type of data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theme</td>
<td>Indicator</td>
<td>Field of science (2-digit FOS) and estimated amount, by FTE</td>
<td>Data on person, FTE, R&amp;D field, funding source, theme and technology areas, external R&amp;D expenditure and personnel</td>
<td>All variables</td>
<td>Type of R&amp;D, fields of science and technology, thematic priorities and technology areas, R&amp;D expenditure and personnel</td>
<td>R&amp;D expenditure census: Revenues and depreciation (pre-printed) By FORD. Capital exp.(surveyed): By FORD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theme</td>
<td>Indicator</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theme</td>
<td>Indicator</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>Biennial</td>
<td>Biennial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theme</td>
<td>Indicator</td>
<td>Derivation of R&amp;D coefficients</td>
<td>Data collection method</td>
<td>Computed from universities' time-use monitoring records</td>
<td>No need for derivation</td>
<td>Time-use survey of all relevant personnel at individual level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theme</td>
<td>Indicator</td>
<td>Data aggregation level</td>
<td>R&amp;D coefficients per main FORD and post</td>
<td>R&amp;D coefficients per higher education institution, FORD and position group</td>
<td>R&amp;D coefficients per FORD on 1-digit level.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theme</td>
<td>Indicator</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Every 3-4 years</td>
<td>Time-use assessment every 5 years (as from 2016)</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theme</td>
<td>Indicator</td>
<td>Regional breakdown</td>
<td>Level of NUTS</td>
<td>NUTS 2</td>
<td>NUTS 3</td>
<td>NUTS 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theme</td>
<td>Indicator</td>
<td>Quality measures</td>
<td>Methodology</td>
<td>From 2010: more data collected from the Ministry of education and culture (less in questionnaires)</td>
<td>Use of accounting data, contact with respondents and HEI administrative bodies, comparisons with previous surveys</td>
<td>High coverage, extensive quality control during compilation, comparisons with previous survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theme</td>
<td>Indicator</td>
<td>Communication with users and respondents</td>
<td>Key users</td>
<td>Ministries and Parliament, universities, researchers and students, national media, European</td>
<td>Ministries: Education and culture; Employment and economy. The Academy of Finland</td>
<td>Ministries of Education/Research and Trade, Industry and Fisheries. Research Council of Norway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theme</td>
<td>Indicator</td>
<td>Key users</td>
<td>Ministries and Parliament, universities, researchers and students, national media, European</td>
<td>Ministries: Education and culture; Employment and economy. The Academy of Finland</td>
<td>Various ministries, universities</td>
<td>Ministries; Finance, Education, Enterprise and Innovation. Research Council of Sweden, Swedish Higher Education Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theme</td>
<td>Indicator</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment of user relevance</td>
<td>Combined use/provider-group for public R&amp;D statistics (HES+GOV+PNP)</td>
<td>Commission, Nordic countries, OECD</td>
<td>Close co-operation with key users</td>
<td>Results presented to some ministries. Results seem to have met user needs</td>
<td>Upstart meeting with key users</td>
<td>Meetings with user council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recalls/reminders</td>
<td>Reminders by post, e-mail and phone</td>
<td>Reminding letters, e-mails</td>
<td>By phone</td>
<td>By e-mail and phone. To all respondents and/or special groups (new, large units)</td>
<td>Letters, e-mail and phone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessment of respondent satisfaction</td>
<td>Combined use/provider-group for public R&amp;D statistics (HES+GOV+PNP)</td>
<td>Annual seminar with universities and universities of applied sciences. More administrative data sources ease the data delivery burden</td>
<td>Survey developed in collaboration with key respondents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Accuracy and Reliability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accuracy and reliability</th>
<th>Unit response rate (un-weighted)</th>
<th>Denmark</th>
<th>Finland</th>
<th>Iceland</th>
<th>Norway</th>
<th>Sweden</th>
<th>R&amp;D expenditures survey: 1 Time-use survey: 0.49 (2015)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Item non-response</td>
<td>Not available</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>In time-use survey; some logical corrections.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Errors

| Errors                          | Challenge when the form of adm.data doesn't correspond to the R&D statistics. Errors reduced by a data management package (from 2009), data validation to respondents, manual check of tables | Errors reduced by minimum standards for interviewer experience, training, questionnaire testing, questionnaire instructions, respondent support etc. | Few errors, as respondents have a good understanding of the concepts. Data collected through Excel-files | Errors minimized by contact with respondents, testing, quality control | Error minimized through testing questionnaire prior to survey, quality control and contact with respondents. |

### Timeliness and Punctuality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Timeliness and punctuality</th>
<th>Release date of provisional national data</th>
<th>Denmark</th>
<th>Finland</th>
<th>Iceland</th>
<th>Norway</th>
<th>Sweden</th>
<th>From 2017 onwards: T+7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Theme</td>
<td>Indicator</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T=reporting period just ended + number of months)</td>
<td>Release date of final national data</td>
<td>T+24</td>
<td>T+10</td>
<td>T+10</td>
<td>T+12</td>
<td>T+11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Delay final data release (months)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>-7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparability</td>
<td>Comparability over time</td>
<td>University hospitals included in government sector until 2002. 2007: major public sector reform – several units moved from government to HES sector</td>
<td>Comparable time series from 1971 (exceptions for 1981, 1983)</td>
<td>2013 (R&amp;D statistics moved to Statistics Iceland, not comparable to earlier years)</td>
<td>Complete time series from 1970 (70, 72, 74, 77, then every second year)</td>
<td>Complete series from 1995 for totals. Breakdowns have changed over the years.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Geographical comparability</td>
<td>Regional comparisons, also over time</td>
<td>Regional comparisons, also over time</td>
<td>Regional comparisons, also over time</td>
<td>Regional comparisons, also over time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility</td>
<td>Dissemination through publications</td>
<td>Results published with GOV and PNP sectors in annual publication for R&amp;D and Innovation Statistics. Press release</td>
<td>Annual online publication</td>
<td>Annual statistical yearbook. Release of official statistics includes a press release</td>
<td>Annual “Report on Science &amp; Technology Indicators for Norway” and annual folder to respondents, users etc.</td>
<td>Online report published every second year</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dissemination through online database</td>
<td>Yes (StatBank Denmark). A sample of Excel tables available on the website, specific tables upon request</td>
<td>Main results available online through the national statistical authority</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Online R&amp;D statistics data bank for all sectors, including time series and international R&amp;D statistics</td>
<td>All results available in the Statistical Database of Statistics Sweden</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Documentation on methodology</td>
<td>Questionnaire and methodological notes on the website. Quality report (statistics documentation) available in English (<a href="http://www.dst.dk">www.dst.dk</a>)</td>
<td>Quality descriptions, classifications, concepts and definitions</td>
<td>Definitions in questionnaire updated in line with Frascati 7. Not available in English</td>
<td>The annual S&amp;T report describes methodology. Metadata, including contact information, is accessible in the R&amp;D data bank</td>
<td>Available on Statistics Sweden’s webpage <a href="http://www.scb.se/uf0301">www.scb.se/uf0301</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Measures to ensure clarity</td>
<td>Little feedback on clarity due to detailed information published. Assist all users, sometimes for a fee if more resources are needed</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Does not seem to be a problem</td>
<td>Clarifications upon request, continuous updates on website</td>
<td>Clarifications upon request, continuous updates on website</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theme</td>
<td>Indicator</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Challenges and future development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Quality and availability of the time-use monitoring data</td>
<td></td>
<td>Response burden (detailed questions on institute level) reduces response rate</td>
<td>Develop estimate of head counts in HES according to FM2015. Estimate transfer/exchange funds.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Further information</td>
<td>Please insert link</td>
<td>Documentation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>General R&amp;D: <a href="https://www.nifu.no/fou-statistiske/fou-statistikk/om-fou/">https://www.nifu.no/fou-statistiske/fou-statistikk/om-fou/</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theme</td>
<td>Indicator</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population</td>
<td>Target population</td>
<td>Public institutes, hospitals (from 2002: excluding university hospitals) and health administrations, libraries and archives, museums and collections mainly financed by government. PNP: PNP institutions with R&amp;D as main purpose</td>
<td>Known or assumed R&amp;D performers in central government, local government and social security funds. PNP: Known or assumed R&amp;D performers in the SNA sector S.15</td>
<td>R&amp;D performing units. Population determined from previous data collection and administrative information</td>
<td>Research institutes and other public institutions performing R&amp;D to some extent. Includes hospitals other than university hospitals. Estimates done for museums with minor R&amp;D activity. PNP sector included since 1989</td>
<td>All government agencies, counties (including healthcare), municipalities, regional and local R&amp;D units and government funded research foundations.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Types and number of institutions, including hospitals</td>
<td>GOV: 85</td>
<td>Total: GOV 79, PNP 67</td>
<td>Total: 34</td>
<td>Research institutes, institutions performing R&amp;D, government agencies (number of units: 80); non-university hospital trusts (30 units); museums (60 units)</td>
<td>Government agencies and government funded organizations (181), counties (20), municipalities (290), R&amp;D units (24), research foundations (6)</td>
<td>Research institutes, institutions performing R&amp;D, government agencies (number of units: 80); non-university hospital trusts (30 units); museums (60 units)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statistical unit</td>
<td>Smallest homogenous unit involved in a field of S&amp;T and for which all factor input data can be obtained</td>
<td>Ministry, government agency, research institute or municipality, PNP organizations</td>
<td>Legal unit</td>
<td>Each institute or organization</td>
<td>Each organization (legal entities for all sectors except R&amp;D units)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative data</td>
<td>Data source</td>
<td>Official business registers (including government organizations)</td>
<td>Business register (Statistics Iceland)</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Official business registers (including government organizations)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of data</td>
<td>Used to define frame population</td>
<td>List of government institutions with R&amp;D activity, project lists</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Used to define frame population</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Biennial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theme</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survey data</td>
<td>Data collection method</td>
<td>Survey (census)</td>
<td>CAWI/CATI E-mail questionnaires</td>
<td>Survey (census)</td>
<td>Census</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data source/provider</td>
<td>Individual R&amp;D unit. When several units in one institution, some information is provided from central institution office</td>
<td>Ministries, government research institutes, other government agencies (incl. defense units), some municipalities, PNP organizations</td>
<td>All units</td>
<td>All R&amp;D performing units (non-university museums: estimates)</td>
<td>Legal entity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of data</td>
<td>Field of science (2-digit FOS) and estimated amount of FTE</td>
<td>R&amp;D activities, R&amp;D expenditure by field or R&amp;D, personnel, FTE, funding sources</td>
<td>All R&amp;D data</td>
<td>Type of R&amp;D, fields of science and technology, thematic priorities and technology areas, R&amp;D expenditure and personnel and FTE</td>
<td>Type of R&amp;D, field of science, thematic priority, expenditure and funding source, personnel and FTE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>Annual (until 2007: every two years)</td>
<td>Biennial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derivation of R&amp;D co-efficients</td>
<td>Data collection method</td>
<td>Not relevant</td>
<td>Not relevant</td>
<td>Not relevant</td>
<td>Not relevant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data aggregation level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional breakdown</td>
<td>Level of NUTS</td>
<td>NUTS 3, Published on the level GOV+PNP</td>
<td>NUTS 3</td>
<td>NUTS 3</td>
<td>NUTS 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality measures</td>
<td>Methodology</td>
<td>Use of official registers of high quality, high response rates, well-trained staff</td>
<td>Methodology tailored to the low number of R&amp;D performing units on gov. level. Improved questionnaire. All interaction with respondents through project manager</td>
<td>High coverage, extensive quality control during compilation, comparisons with previous surveys</td>
<td>High coverage, extensive quality control during compilation, comparisons with previous survey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication with users and respondents</td>
<td>Key users</td>
<td>Ministries; Education and culture; Employment and economy. The Academy of Finland</td>
<td>Various ministries, universities</td>
<td>Ministries of Education/Research and Trade, Industry and Fisheries. Research Council of Norway</td>
<td>Ministries; Finance, Education, Enterprise and innovation. Research Council of Sweden, Swedish Higher Education Authority</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theme</td>
<td>Indicator</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assessment of user relevance</strong></td>
<td>Combined use/provider-group for public R&amp;D statistics (HES+GOV+PNP)</td>
<td>Meetings with key STI policy experts and researchers</td>
<td>Results presented to some ministries. Data seems to have met user needs</td>
<td>Meetings with key users</td>
<td>Meetings with user council</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recalls/reminders</strong></td>
<td>2 reminders by letter, phone contact to important missing units</td>
<td>Follow-up by phone</td>
<td>By e-mail and phone</td>
<td>Two letters, e-mail and phone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assessment of respondent satisfaction</strong></td>
<td>Combined use/provider-group for public R&amp;D statistics (HES+GOV+PNP)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Accuracy and reliability</strong></td>
<td>Unit response rate (un-weighted)</td>
<td>0.98 (0.96 including PNP)</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Item non-response</strong></td>
<td>Very small</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Errors</strong></td>
<td>Challenge when the form of adm.data doesn't correspond to the R&amp;D statistics. Errors reduced by a data management package (from 2009), data validation to respondents, manual check of tables.</td>
<td>Follow-up of government research policy initiatives and possible new R&amp;D units, questionnaire instructions, respondent support etc.</td>
<td>Possible failures in separating R&amp;D from other related activity which should be excluded. All possible errors checked with respondents by phone</td>
<td>Errors minimized by contact with respondents, testing, quality control</td>
<td>Counties have trouble estimating R&amp;D for university hospitals, inconsistencies between years for agencies.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Timeliness and punctuality</strong></td>
<td>Release date of provisional national data</td>
<td>T+12</td>
<td>T+10</td>
<td>T+10</td>
<td>T+10</td>
<td>T+7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Release date of final national data</td>
<td>T+24</td>
<td>T+10</td>
<td>T+10</td>
<td>T+12</td>
<td>T+10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Punctuality</td>
<td>No delays</td>
<td>No delays</td>
<td>No delays</td>
<td>No delays</td>
<td>No delays</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theme</td>
<td>Indicator</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2007: major public sector reform – several units moved from government to HES sector</td>
<td></td>
<td>comparability due to small size)</td>
<td>from FM15 definition from 2017.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geographical comparability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility</td>
<td>Dissemination through publications</td>
<td>Results published with HES sector in annual publication for R&amp;D and Innovation Statistics. Press release</td>
<td>Online publication</td>
<td>Annual statistical yearbook. Release of official statistics includes a press release</td>
<td>Annual &quot;Report on Science &amp; Technology Indicators for Norway&quot; and annual folder to respondents, users etc.</td>
<td>Online publication: full report and database update every even years, forecast odd years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissemination through online database</td>
<td>Yes (StatBank Denmark). A sample of Excel tables available on the website, specific tables upon request</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Online R&amp;D data bank for all sectors, including time series and international R&amp;D statistics</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissemination through other formats</td>
<td>Questionnaire and methodological notes on the website. Quality report (statistics documentation) available in English (<a href="http://www.dst.dk">www.dst.dk</a>)</td>
<td>Main results available on the national statistical authority’s website. Data prepared for individual ad hoc requests</td>
<td>Data prepared for individual ad hoc requests</td>
<td></td>
<td>Data prepared for individual ad hoc requests</td>
<td>On request</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Documentation on methodology</td>
<td>Little feedback on clarity due to detailed information published. Assist all users, sometimes for a fee if more resources are needed</td>
<td>Quality descriptions, classifications, concepts and definitions</td>
<td>Definitions in questionnaire updated in line with Frascati 7. Not available in English</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Quality descriptions, classifications, concepts and definitions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measures to ensure clarity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clariifications upon request, continuous updates on website</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Challenges and future developements</td>
<td>Update of the R&amp;D panel, catching the potential new R&amp;D performers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Response burden (detailed questions on institute level) reduces response rate</td>
<td></td>
<td>Issues attached to county reporting, discussions with the users and respondents on minimizing the response burden.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theme</td>
<td>Indicator</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streamlining the production process and continuing the implementation of FM15. External R&amp;D personnel to be included and FRIBS compliance to be improved.</td>
<td>Further information</td>
<td>Please insert link</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FM 2015 issues GOV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extramural R&amp;D funds</th>
<th>Denmark</th>
<th>Finland</th>
<th>Iceland</th>
<th>Norway</th>
<th>Sweden</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No plans for collection</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Internal / external R&amp;D personnel</th>
<th>Denmark</th>
<th>Finland</th>
<th>Iceland</th>
<th>Norway</th>
<th>Sweden</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No question to separate external personal doing intramural R&amp;D</td>
<td>In principle internal but in practice close to total in GOV, HES and PNP. More important issue in the BES</td>
<td>All personnel considered to be internal</td>
<td>Internal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transfer / exchange funds</th>
<th>Denmark</th>
<th>Finland</th>
<th>Iceland</th>
<th>Norway</th>
<th>Sweden</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Already in place</td>
<td>Can be estimated (reported to Eurostat and OECD)</td>
<td>Can be estimated</td>
<td>For extramural R&amp;D by recipient</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Internal R&amp;D funds</th>
<th>Denmark</th>
<th>Finland</th>
<th>Iceland</th>
<th>Norway</th>
<th>Sweden</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes: distinction between budget funds and funds from own business operations, from own foundations and the like in GOV, HES and PNP. In the BES funds from the domestic enterprise group are considered as internal.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Can be estimated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deviations from Frascati</th>
<th>Denmark</th>
<th>Finland</th>
<th>Iceland</th>
<th>Norway</th>
<th>Sweden</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Internal and external personnel no distinction.</td>
<td>Internal personnel is considered total. Insignificant deviation in GOV, HES and</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>The concepts internal/external are not identical in national and international R&amp;D statistics. International</td>
<td>Internal personnel is considered total.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PNP. More important issue in the BES.

R&D statistics: Only funds from own sector are considered internal, all persons employed by the institution are internal, independent of funding.
## PNP sector

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Denmark</th>
<th>Finland</th>
<th>Iceland</th>
<th>Norway</th>
<th>Sweden</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population</td>
<td>Target population PNP institutions with R&amp;D as main purpose</td>
<td>PNP institutions with R&amp;D as main purpose</td>
<td>PNP organizations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PNP institutions with R&amp;D as main purpose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Types and number of institutions, including university hospitals (FM Table 9.1)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Statistical unit PNP institutions with R&amp;D as main purpose</td>
<td>PNP organizations</td>
<td>Legal unit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Legal unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of sub-units surveyed (department/institute)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sample unit: 197 Total population: 1 600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separate survey</td>
<td>Separate, or integrated into other sector surveys?</td>
<td>Part of government R&amp;D survey</td>
<td>Part of government R&amp;D survey</td>
<td>Part of business enterprise R&amp;D survey</td>
<td>Part of government R&amp;D survey</td>
<td>Separate survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative data</td>
<td>Data source</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Type of data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Data source/provider</td>
<td>PNP’s</td>
<td>PNP organizations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Type of data</td>
<td>Figures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Biennial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Biennial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Derivation of data</td>
<td>Data collection method</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theme</td>
<td>Indicator</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>R&amp;D coefficients</strong></td>
<td>Data aggregation level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Regional breakdown</strong></td>
<td>Level of NUTS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No regional breakdown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Quality measures</strong></td>
<td>Methodology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PNP’s now surveyed as part of BES (earlier GOV and HEI), following feedback on user needs. Low R&amp;D share of GERD.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Communication with users and respondents</strong></td>
<td>Key users</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Assessment of user relevance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Assessment of respondent satisfaction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recalls/reminders</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Accuracy and reliability</strong></td>
<td>Unit response rate (unweighted)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>48%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Item non-response</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Errors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Timeliness and punctuality</strong></td>
<td>Release date of provisional national data</td>
<td></td>
<td>T+10</td>
<td></td>
<td>No provisional national data</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T=reporting period just ended + number of months)</td>
<td>Release date of final national data</td>
<td></td>
<td>T+10</td>
<td>T+12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Punctuality</td>
<td></td>
<td>No delays</td>
<td>No delays</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Comparability</strong></td>
<td>Comparability over time</td>
<td></td>
<td>2013 (R&amp;D statistics moved to Statistics Iceland, not comparable to earlier years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Geographical comparability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theme</td>
<td>Indicator</td>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility</td>
<td>Dissemination through publications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Annual statistical yearbook. Release of official statistics includes a press release</td>
<td>Online publication: full report and database update even years, forecast odd years.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dissemination through online database</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Is available.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Documentation on methodology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Data prepared for individual ad hoc requests</td>
<td>Quality descriptions, classifications, concepts and definitions.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Measures to ensure clarity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Aware of clarity issues relating to key concepts, including R&amp;D, researcher.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Challenges and future developments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Methodological changes will be investigated during 2019.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Further information</td>
<td>Please insert link</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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