

## Evaluation of research in the humanities in Norway

Publication and research personnel. Statistics and analyses
Appendix report - Philosophy and Studies in Science and Technology

Dag W. Aksnes Hebe Gunnes Report 2016:14 (appendix)



# Evaluation of research in the humanities in Norway

Publication and research personnel. Statistics and analyses
Appendix report - Philosophy and Studies in Science and Technology

Dag W. Aksnes Hebe Gunnes Report 2016:14 (appendix)

Report 2016:14

Published by Nordic Institute for Studies in Innovation, Research and Education (NIFU)

Address P.O. Box 2815 Tøyen, NO-0608 Oslo. Office address: Økernveien 9, NO-0653 Oslo.

Project No. 12820649

Front cover figure Most frequently appearing words in the publication titles within humanities, 2011-2015.

Customer The Research Council of Norway Address P.O Box 564, NO-1327 Lysaker

Print Link Grafisk

ISBN 978-82-327-0190-2 ISSN 1892-2597 (online)

www.nifu.no

### **Preface**

This report is an appendix report to the main report containing publication and research personnel statistics and analyses of the humanities. The report contains publication indicators at panel-levels, based on data of the included researchers and their field-affiliations. In addition, it includes data on the research personnel. We refer to the main report for descriptions of the data and method underlying the analyses. The report includes numerous tables. Within the scope of the project, we have not been able to give detailed comments on all indicators presented. Rather, we give some examples of how the tables should be read and comment on major patterns.

## **Contents**

1	Panel 6 - Philosophy and Studies in Science and Technology	6
1.1	Publication analysis	6
	Research personnel 1	

### 1 Panel 6 - Philosophy and Studies in Science and Technology

#### 1.1 Publication analysis

In total, a personnel of 257 people have been included in the evaluation of Philosophy and Studies in Science and Technology. The analysis below contains publication statistics for the selected personnel. The methods and principles applied in the analysis are described in the main report. It should be recalled that the analysis is limited to the publications which have been published by the included staff at the institutions during the period 2011-2015, and which are credited the institutions through the Norwegian performance-based funding system (i.e. the institute/department is listed as an author address). This means that for newly appointed personnel, none or very few of their publications may have been included.

Table 1.1 provides an overview of the number of publications for the period 2011-2015. Altogether more than 700 publications have been published during the period. There are annual fluctuations in the publication numbers, but with an increasing trend.

Philosophy and History of Ideas is the largest discipline both in terms of number of people included and number of publications. The discipline accounts for 72 per cent of the publication output. The corresponding figure for Science and Technology Studies is 28 per cent.

Table 1.1. Total number of publications, Philosophy and Studies in Science and Technology, 2011-2015.

Discipline	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Total	Proportion	No
								people included
Philosophy and History								
of Ideas	84	63	117	105	154	523	72%	209
Science and								
Technology Studies	44	34	48	29	45	200	28%	48
Total	128	97	165	134	199	723	100%	257

Source: Data: CRIStin, Calculations: NIFU.

Table 1.2 shows similar figures but using publication points as measure. In total 790 publication points have been published.

Table 1.2. Total number of publication points, Philosophy and Studies in Science and Technology, 2011-2015.

Discipline	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Total	Proportion
Philosophy and History of Ideas	107	81	126	130	180	623	79%
Science and Technology Studies	30	34	39	23	40	167	21%
Total	137	115	166	153	219	790	100%

Source: Data: CRIStin. Calculations: NIFU.

Table 1.3 contains selected key indicators on the publication activity of the selected departments and units. Department of Philosophy, Classics, History of Art and Ideas at UiO is the largest, both in terms of number of personnel (79) included and number of publication points (223) by this personnel. The department also has the highest proportion of publications in channels classified as the most prestigious (level 2), 45 per cent. The proportion of the publications with English as publication language varies across the units, with an average of 72 per cent, which is above the average for humanities in total (57 per cent).

The table also shows the proportion of the included personnel who have four or more publications or publication points during the period. This is an indication of the share of the personnel that are most active as researchers. However, as described above, newly appointed personnel may have none or few of their publications included. Thus, the figure is also influenced by the recruitment of new staff, as well as the composition of the personnel (e.g. research follows vs. tenured personnel). A reverse indicator is the proportion of the included personnel with no scholarly publications during the period (according to the principles applied in the study). This proportion ranges from 0 to 52 per cent.

Table 1.3. Key indicators. Included departments and units. Philosophy and Studies in Science and Technology, total 2011-2015.

Institution/	Dep	No	Prop	Prop	Prop	No inc	Prop with	Prop
faculty		pub	level 2	English	journal	people	4 pub/pub	with 0
		points		lang	articles		points	pub
Nordland University	Faculty of Professional Studies	14	7%	50%	60%	14	14%	36%
Nord-Trøndelag University College	Faculty of teacher education	10	29%	14%	14%	2	50%	0%
	Dep of Interdisciplinary Studies of Culture	98	14%	74%	63%	30	50%	23%
	Dep of Philosophy and							
NTNU, Fac of	Religious studies	84	26%	81%	52%	30	40%	37%
humanities	Total	182	19%	77%	58%	60	45%	30%
Peace Research								
Institute Oslo		44	37%	84%	60%	5	100%	0%
Telemark University								
College	Total	27	7%	52%	86%	5	60%	40%
	Centre for the Study of							
	the Sciences and							
	Humanities	46	12%	90%	66%	16	56%	13%
UiB Faculty of	Dep of Philosophy	140	22%	61%	59%	41	37%	29%
Humanities	Total	187	19%	72%	62%	58	41%	26%

	Dep of Philosophy,							
UiO Faculty of	Classics, History of Art							
Humanities	and Ideas	223	45%	78%	62%	79	25%	52%
UiT Faculty of								
Humanities, Social								
Sciences and								
Education	Dep of Philosophy	81	31%	65%	52%	30	37%	30%
	Faculty of Humanities							
University of Agder	and Education	22	0%	33%	56%	5	60%	20%
Total Philosophy and								
Technology (included units)		790	27%	72%	60%	258	37%	36%
Total Humanities (all f	ields/units)	10186	23%	57%	53%	2386	43%	28%

Table 1.4 contains an overview of how the publication points are distributed at the level of institutions and sectors. Separate figures are given for the four largest institutions, measured as number of publication points within Philosophy and Studies in Science and Technology (UiO, UiB, NTNU, and UiT). The four institutions together contribute to two-thirds of the national publication output within Philosophy and Studies in Science and Technology. However, there are also major contributions from other HE-institutions. UiO is the single largest contributor to Philosophy and History of Ideas, with a proportion of 36 per cent. NTNU accounts for more than half (58 per cent) of the publication output in Science and Technology Studies.

Table 1.4. Distribution of publication points by field and institution/sector, Philosophy and Studies in Science and Technology, total 2011-2015. Proportions.

Discipline					Other HE-	Institute sector	Total	No pub
	UiO	UiB	NTNU	UiT	sector			points
Philosophy and History of								
Ideas	36%	23%	14%	13%	12%	3%	100%	623
Science and Technology								
Studies	0%	28%	58%	0%	0%	14%	100%	167
Total Philosophy and Studies								
in Science and Technology	28%	24%	23%	10%	9%	6%	100%	790
Total Humanities (all								
fields/units)	29%	17%	11%	10%	30%	3%	100%	

Source: Data: CRIStin. Calculations: NIFU.

Table 1.5 shows how the publications are distributed by publication type and level. In both disciplines, a majority of the publications are published in journals. Philosophy and History of Ideas has the highest proportion of level 2 publications (30 per cent). This proportion is 16 per cent within Science and Technology Studies. In the table, we have also calculated the proportion of level 2+ journal publications (proportion of publications in publication channels classified as level 2 in all the Nordic countries applying the Norwegian model). Here, Philosophy and History of Ideas has the highest proportion (13 per cent).

Table 1.5. Distribution of publications by field and publication type and level, Philosophy and Studies in Science and Technology, total 2011-2015. Proportions.

Discipline	Proportion of monographs	Proportion of book chapters	Proportion of journal articles	Proportion of level 2 publications	Proportion of level 2+ journal publications*
Philosophy and History					
of Ideas	5%	38%	58%	30%	13%
Science and					
Technology Studies	2%	33%	66%	16%	3%
Total Philosophy and					
Studies in Science and					
Technology	4%	36%	60%	27%	10%
Total Humanities (all					
fields/units)	5%	42%	53%	23%	8%

The publications are distributed across a large number of different journals, series and publishers. Table 1.6 gives the publication counts for the most frequently used journals and publishers for the period 2011–2015. From the list, one gets an overall impression of the research profile of institutions and faculties. On the top of the list, we find the journal Norsk Filosofisk Tidsskrift. The table also shows the distribution (national vs international) of the publication channels, based on information from CRIStin. The latter classification is however tentative, there are borderline cases which make the classification difficult, and sometimes the classification may appear questionable. In addition, the table contains information on the (main) publication language of the publication channels, as well as their level in the Norwegian publication model (level 1 or 2).

<sup>\*)</sup> Proportion of publications in publication channels classified as level 2 in all the Nordic countries applying the Norwegian model.

Table 1.6. Journal and publisher frequencies. Total, Philosophy and Studies in Science and Technology, 2011-2015.

recimology, 2011-2013.	1		1		1								ı
Journal/Publisher	Level (1/2)	Distribution	Language	Nordland Univ	Nord-Trønd Univ Coll	NTNU, Fac of hum	Peace Research Inst	Telemark Univ Coll	UiB Fac of Hum	UiO Fac of Hum	UiT Fac of Hum, Soc &Edu	Univ of Agder	Total
Norsk Filosofisk Tidsskrift	1	National	Nor/Scand	1		5		1	6	4	8	2	27
Oxford University Press	2	Internasjonal	English			2	1		4	15			21
Routledge	2	Internasjonal	English			2	2		3	11	2		20
Wageningen Academic Publishers	1	Internasjonal	English			6			12				18
Fagbokforlaget	1	National	Various	5		9			3				17
Tapir Akademisk Forlag	1	National	Various	1	2	11				2			16
Walter de Gruyter	2	Internasjonal	Various						1	4	10		15
Agora : Journal for metafysisk spekulasjon	1	National	Nor/Scand			1			4	6	2		13
Pax Forlag	1	National	Nor/Scand			1	1		6	1	2	1	11
Ashgate	2	Internasjonal	English		1	3	2		1		3		10
Springer	1	National	English			3	1		2	3		1	_
Dreyer Forlag A/S	1	National	Nor/Scand	1		1			7				9
Etikk i praksis	1	National	Various			3			3	1		2	
Cambridge University Press	2	Internasjonal	English			1	3		,	3	1		8
Mentis Verlag GmbH	2	Internasjonal	English			6			1	<u></u>			8
Universitetsforlaget	1	National	Nor/Scand			1			4	2	1		8
Wiley-Blackwell	1	Internasjonal	English				1		3	2	2		8
NanoEthics	1	Internasjonal	English			4			3				7
Cambridge Scholars Publishing	1	National	English	1		1			,		4		6
Inquiry	2	Internasjonal	English						1	5			6
Journal of Medical Ethics	2	Internasjonal	English						3	3			6
Nordic Journal of Science and		Internasjonar	Liigiisii						3				
Technology Studies	1	Internasjonal	English			5			1				6
Nytt Norsk Tidsskrift	1	National	Nor/Scand			1			3	2			6
Palgrave Macmillan	2	Internasjonal	English			3			1		2		6
Arr. Idéhistorisk tidsskrift	1	National	Nor/Scand			1			_	3	1		5
Brill Academic Publishers	2	Internasjonal	English				3	1	1				5
Journal of Evaluation In Clinical	1	,	J										
Practice	1	National	English							3	2		5
Journal of Value Inquiry	1	Internasjonal	English							4	1		5
Philosophical Studies	2	Internasjonal	English			2				3			5
Building Research & Information	2	Internasjonal	English			4							4
Philosophy & Social Criticism	2	Internasjonal	English						2	1	1		4
Australasian Journal of Philosophy	2	Internasjonal	English			1				2			3
Nursing Ethics	2	National	English	2						1			3
Philosophical quarterly (Print)	2	Internasjonal	English						1	2			3
Philosophy of the social sciences	2	Internasjonal	English						2	1			3 3 3
The Review of Symbolic Logic	2	Internasjonal	English							3			3
Source: Data: CRIStin, Calculations: M	<del></del>		. –	-					I				

Table 1.7 shows that a majority of the publications within Philosophy and Studies in Science and Technology have English as publication language (72 per cent). The proportion is highest within Science and Technology Studies (81 per cent).

<sup>\*)</sup> Threshold level: More than 4 publications (total). Level 2 channels: more than 2 publications.

Table 1.7. Distribution of publications by field and publication language. Philosophy and Studies in Science and Technology, total 2011-2015. Proportions.

Discipline	Norwegian/	English	Other	No
	Scandinavian		languages	publications
Philosophy and History of Ideas	27%	69%	4%	523
Science and Technology Studies	19%	81%	0%	200
Total Philosophy and Studies in				
Science and Technology	25%	72%	3%	723
Total Humanities (all fields/units)	37%	57%	6%	8798

As part of the analysis, we have also investigated the frequency of open access (OA) publishing within Philosophy and Studies in Science and Technology. Generally, publications can be openly available when published in open access journals or books (so call "gold" OA), through self-archiving (e.g. institutional repositories) or by author payment in so-called hybrid journals. Due to lack of data, it is not possible to examine the total incidence of open access publishing covering all these alternative publishing models. However, in the Directory of Open Access Journals (DOAJ) there is a list of pure OA journals (gold). Based on this list, we have calculated the proportion of articles published in OA journals. The results are given in Table 1.8.

Overall, 5 per cent of the journal articles within Philosophy and Studies in Science and Technology were published in gold open access journals. This is slightly below the national average for humanities (8 per cent).

Table 1.8. Number of journal articles and proportion in Open Access (OA) journals, Philosophy and Studies in Science and Technology, total 2011-2015.

Discipline	Number of	Proportion in
	journal articles	OA-journals
Philosophy and History of Ideas	301	5%
Science and Technology Studies	131	6%
Total Philosophy and Studies in Science and Technology	432	5%
Total Humanities (all fields/units)	4678	8%

Source: Data: CRIStin. Calculations: NIFU.

We have also analysed the collaboration patterns of Philosophy and Studies in Science and Technology using data on co-authorship. As described in the main report, co-authorship is generally much more common in natural sciences/medicine than in social sciences/humanities. In many humanities fields, the proportion of co-authored publications is very low and it is less common to write a publication together with other researchers.

Table 1.9 shows the proportion of the publications that involve national collaboration manifested by coauthorship (publications having author addresses from two or more different Norwegian institutions). In addition, the proportions of the publications having foreign author addresses are shown.

Overall, 9 per cent of the Philosophy and Studies in Science and Technology publications had coauthors from more than one Norwegian institution, this is on par with the average for all the included units in the evaluation of humanities, which is 8 per cent. There are some differences at discipline levels and the proportion is highest within Science and Technology Studies (13 per cent). The proportion of the Philosophy and Studies in Science and Technology publications having coauthors from other countries is 20 per cent overall (data available for the 2015 publications, only). Thus, this indicates that international collaboration is more common than national collaboration. The proportion is highest for Science and Technology Studies (27 per cent).

Table 1.9. Collaboration. Proportion of publications with external national and international coauthors, Philosophy and Studies in Science and Technology, total 2011-2015.

Discipline	Number of publications	Proportion of publications with external national co-authors	Proportion of publications with international co-authors*
Philosophy and History of Ideas	523	7%	18%
Science and Technology Studies	200	13%	27%
Total Philosophy and Studies in			
Science and Technology	723	9%	20%
Total Humanities (all fields/units)	8798	8%	14%

Source: Data: CRIStin. Calculations: NIFU.

In the final table (1.10), we have shown how the publication activity is distributed at the level of individual/research personnel. One of the columns gives the average publication productivity of the personnel, measured as total number of publication points for the period 2011-2015 per person. Overall, the personnel within Philosophy and Studies in Science and Technology have published 4.8 publication points per person, which is 19 per cent below the average for humanities in total (5.9). Of the two disciplines, Philosophy and History of Ideas obtains the highest average (4.9).

In the table, we have added an additional variable: the gender of the publishing authors. Overall, 26 per cent of the Philosophy and Studies in Science and Technology publication points were published by female scholars. This is below the national average for humanities, which is 36 per cent. However, Science and Technology Studies has a much higher proportion than Philosophy and History of Ideas, 40 and 22 per cent, respectively.

Of the publishing authors, the overall female proportion within Philosophy and Studies in Science and Technology is 31 per cent.

Table 1.10. Average publication productivity of the personnel. Female proportions, Philosophy and Studies in Science and Technology, total 2011-2015.

Discipline	Number of people with publications	Avg publication point per person	Female proportion – publishing authors	Female proportion – publication points
Philosophy and History of Ideas	127	4.9	27%	22%
Science and Technology Studies	39	4.3	46%	40%
Total Philosophy and Studies in				
Science and Technology	166	4.8	31%	26%
Total Humanities (all fields/units)	1714	5.9	42%	36%

Source: Data: CRIStin. Calculations: NIFU.

<sup>\*)</sup> Based on 2015 publications, only.

#### 1.2 Research personnel

The composition of the staff is analysed in terms of academic positions and degrees, as well as gender. Note that there are two populations of researchers described in this chapter – the researchers selected for evaluation in Philosophy and Studies in Science and Technology, and the total number of staff at the departments/ institutions participating in the evaluation.

Table 1.11 shows the number of research staff at the departments and institutions selected for evaluation within Philosophy and Studies in Science and Technology, as well as the number of researchers participating in the evaluation. There were a total of 258 researchers selected for evaluation in Philosophy and Studies in Science and Technology. They were affiliated in 11 departments and institutions. Several of these departments also had researchers in other evaluation panels.

Table 1.11 Research personnel<sup>1</sup> at units selected for the evaluation of Philosophy and Studies in Science and Technology by department: 2015<sup>2</sup>.

		Researchers included in the evaluation	Percent personnel in Philosophy and Studies in	N (Total research staff)
Institution	Dep.		Science and Technology	
Nordland University	Faculty of Professional Studies	14	5 %	56
Nord-Trøndelag University College	Faculty of teacher education	2	1 %	89
NTNU, Faculty of humanities	Dep of Interdisciplinary Studies of Culture	30	12 %	36
	Dep of Philosophy and Religious studies	30	12 %	35
	Other units			
Peace Research Institute Oslo		5	2 %	52
Telemark University College	Total	5	2 %	147
UiB Faculty of Humanities	Centre for the Study of the Sciences and Humanities	16	6 %	14
	Dep of Philosophy	41	16 %	62
	Total	1	0 %	0
UiO Faculty of Humanities	Dep of Philosophy, Classics, History of Art and Ideas	79	31 %	113
UiT Faculty of Humanities, Social Sciences and Education	Dep of Philosophy	30	12 %	29
University of Agder, Faculty of Humanities and Education	Total	5	2 %	145
Total Philosophy and S (included units)	Studies in Science and Technology	258	100 %	778

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Includes all tenured positions, as well as post.docs, research fellows and research assistants.

Source: NIFU, Register of Research Personnel

Please note that not all the 258 researchers where found at the appointed departments in the Register of research personnel for 2015. Some of them have part time positions, such as adjunct professor, and thus have their main position at another Norwegian institution or abroad. The date of entry for the register is October 1st 2015, and some of the selected researchers were not employed at this date.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Preliminary figures

29 persons were not found in the Register of research personnel by 01.10.2015. Two persons selected for evaluation had a dual position with their main position outside the selected institutions.

The tables 1.12 and 1.13 show the distribution of researchers within Philosophy and Studies in Science and Technology, as well as total research staff at the selected departments, by position. The majority of the selected researchers are professors, i.e. full professors, associate professors or assistant professors. Deans and heads of departments are also included in this group.

Table 1.12 Researchers within Philosophy and Studies in Science and Technology by position: **2015**<sup>1</sup>. Percent.

Institution	Dep.	Profes- sor level <sup>2</sup>	Post.	Recruit- ment positions <sup>4</sup>	Lec- urers <sup>5</sup>	Other posit- ions <sup>6</sup>	Total
Nordland University	Faculty of Professional Studies	93 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	7 %	14
Nord-Trøndelag University College	Faculty of teacher education	100 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	2
NTNU, Faculty of humanities	Dep of Interdisciplinary Studies of Culture	30 %	40 %	27 %	3 %	0 %	30
	Dep of Philosophy and Religious studies	53 %	17 %	27 %	3 %	0 %	30
	Other units						
Peace Research Institute Oslo		100 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	5
Telemark University College	Total	100 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	5
UiB Faculty of Humanities	Centre for the Study of the Sciences and Humanities	50 %	25 %	25 %	0 %	0 %	16
	Dep of Philosophy	63 %	10 %	17 %	5 %	5 %	41
	Total	0 %	0 %	100 %	0 %	0 %	1
UiO Faculty of Humanities	Dep of Philosophy, Classics, History of Art and Ideas	30 %	28 %	20 %	16 %	5 %	79
UiT Faculty of Humanities, Social Sciences and Education	Dep of Philosophy	57 %	3 %	17 %	20 %	3 %	30
University of Agder, Faculty of Humanities and Education	Total	100 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	5
Total Philosophy and Studies in S (included units)	Science and Technology	50 %	19 %	19 %	9 %	3 %	258

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Preliminary figures

Source: NIFU, Register of Research Personnel

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The category professor level includes full professor, associate professor, academic leaders (employed Deans and Chairs/Heads of departments) and university college docents, as well as senior researchers in the institute sector. Adjunct professors (professor II) are not included in the table.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>TPost.docs also include researchers affiliated in projects, mainly externally funded.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>The category "Recruitment positions" includes research fellows and research assistants.
<sup>5</sup>The category "Lecturers" includes senior lecturers, university lecturers and college lectures, as well as researchers without doctoral level competence in the Institute sector.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Other positions are mainly selected researchers with dual positions, such as adjunct professors, which have their main position at another institution.

Table 1.13 Research personnel at the units selected for evaluation in Philosophy and Studies in Science and Technology by position: 2015<sup>1</sup>. Percent.

Ansgar University		level <sup>2</sup>	Docs <sup>3</sup>		_	
Ansgar University				ment	ers <sup>5</sup>	
Ansgar University			ŗ	ositions <sup>4</sup>		
College and	Total	54 %	8 %	0 %	38 %	13
Theological Seminary						
Diakonhjemmet	Total	42 %	0 %	42 %	17 %	24
University College						
Fjellhaug International University College	Total	36 %	0 %	0 %	64 %	14
Hedmark University College	Dep of Humanities	44 %	2 %	16 %	37 %	43
NLA University College	Total	33 %	0 %	2 %	65 %	122
Norwegian School of Theology	Total	46 %	11 %	25 %	17 %	71
NTNU, Faculty of humanities	Dep of Philosophy and Religious studies	63 %	14 %	20 %	3 %	35
	Total	46 %	8 %	33 %	13 %	24
UiB Faculty of Humanities	Department of Archaeology, History, Cultural Studies and Religion	54 %	13 %	30 %	2 %	82
<u> </u>	Dep of Culture Studies and Oriental Languages	48 %	18 %	25 %	8 %	87
UiO Faculty of Theology	Total	44 %	16 %	33 %	7 %	43
UIS Faculty of Arts and Education	Total	40 %	12 %	14 %	34 %	232
UiT Faculty of Humanities, Social Sciences and Education	Dep of History and Religious Studies	52 %	7 %	41 %	0 %	29
	Other units					0
University of Agder	Faculty of Humanities and Education	47 %	3 %	11 %	39 %	145
Volda University College	Total	36 %	0 %	11 %	53 %	53
Total Religion and Theology (included units)		44 %	8 %	18 %	30 %	1017

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Preliminary figures.

Source: NIFU, Register of Research Personnel

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The category professor level includes full professor, associate professor, academic leaders (employed Deans and Chairs/Heads of departments) and university college docents, as well as senior researchers in the institute sector. Adjunct professors (professors II) are not included in the table.

<sup>3</sup>TPost.docs also include researchers affiliated in projects, mainly externally funded.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>The category "Recruitment positions" includes research fellows and research assistants. <sup>5</sup>The category "Lecturers" includes senior lecturers, university lecturers and college lectures, as well as researchers without doctoral level competence in the Institute sector.

Table 1.14 Research personnel at units selected for the evaluation in Philosophy and Studies in Science and Technology holding a doctoral degree<sup>1</sup> by department: 2015<sup>2</sup>. Percent.

Institution	Dep.	All research within Philoso and Studies Science ar Technolog	All researchers at selected institute		
		Holds a doctoral degree	N	Holds a doctoral degree	N
Nordland University	Faculty of Professional Studies			39 %	22
Nord-Trøndelag University College	Faculty of teacher education	100 %	2	16 %	13
NTNU, Faculty of humanities	Dep of Interdisciplinary Studies of Culture	90 %	19	89 %	24
	Dep of Philosophy and Religious studies	73 %	16	75 %	21
	Other units				
Peace Research Institute Oslo		100 %	5	89 %	32
Telemark University College	Total	100 %	4	30 %	40
UiB Faculty of Humanities	Centre for the Study of the Sciences and Humanities	100 %	10	100 %	10
	Dep of Philosophy	89 %	25	53 %	29
	Other units				
UiO Faculty of Humanities	Dep of Philosophy, Classics, History of Art and Ideas	70 %	38	71 %	60
UiT Faculty of Humanities, Social Sciences and Education	Dep of Philosophy	61 %	14	63 %	15
University of Agder, Faculty of Humanities and Education	Total	100 %	5	52 %	67
Total Philosophy and Studies in Sci	ence and Technology (included units)	79 %	138	50 %	333

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The table shows the share of the research personnel that held a doctoral degree in 2015. Recruitment positions, PhD students and research assistants are not included. Note that the numbers of doctoral degree holders might be underestimated, as doctoral degrees awarded abroad for new employees are not yet updated in the Register of research personnel.


<sup>2</sup>Preliminary figures. Source: NIFU, Register of research personnel/Doctoral Degree Register


Table 1.15 Female and male research personnel at units selected for the evaluation of Philosophy and Studies in Science and Technology by department: 20151. Percent.

Institution	Dep.	All researchers within Philosophy and Studies in Science and Technology	All research	
		Share of women	Share of women	N
Nordland University	Faculty of Professional Studies	57 %	8 61 %	34
Nord-Trøndelag University College	Faculty of teacher education	50 %	1 53 %	47
NTNU, Faculty of humanities	Dep of Interdisciplinary Studies of Culture	53 % 10	61 %	22
	Dep of Philosophy and Religious studies	27 %	3 23 %	8
	Other units			
Peace Research Institute Oslo		40 %	2 60 %	31
Telemark University College	Total	40 %	2 54 %	80
UiB Faculty of Humanities	Centre for the Study of the Sciences and Humanities	38 %	6 43 %	6
	Dep of Philosophy	20 %	3 27 %	17
	Total	100 %	1	
UiO Faculty of Humanities	Dep of Philosophy, Classics, History of Art and Ideas	38 % 30	0 42 %	47
UiT Faculty of Humanities, Social Sciences and Education	Dep of Philosophy	17 %	5 17 %	5
University of Agder, Faculty of Humanities and Education	Total	0 %	52 %	76
Total Philosophy and Studies in Sciunits)	ence and Technology (included	34 % 8'	48 % 7	373

<sup>1</sup>Preliminary figures

Source: NIFU, Register of research personnel





<sup>1</sup>Preliminary figures

Source: NIFU, Register of research personnel

Nordisk institutt for studier av innovasjon, forskning og utdanning

Nordic Institutute for Studies in Innovation, Research and Education

www.nifu.no